POSUDEK OPONENTA BAKALÁŘSKÉ DIPLOMOVÉ PRÁCE OPPONENT'S REPORT OF THE BACHELOR THESIS

Opponent's name:	Mgr. Helena Vomáčková			
Leadership's name:	Ph.Dr. Tereza Nováková, Ph.D.			
Student's name:	Petrocheilos Stavros			
The title of the bachelor thesis:				
Case study of physiotherapy treatment of a patient after total knee replacement surgery				
The goal of the bachelor thesis: The aim of the general part of the thesis is to summarise the theoretical information about anatomy, kinesiology and biomechanics				
of the knee joint as well as the osteoarthritis. The aim of the second part is the case study of physiotherapeutic treatment of a patient with knee replacement surgery.				
1. Volume:				
pages of text / pages of thesis	70/77			
	39			
number of references	articles	monographs	electronic sources	other
	6	31	2	0
others	figures 10	tables 12	graphs 0	appendices 5
	10		Ü	3
2. Seriousness of topics:	above average	average	under average	unsatisfactory
theoretical knowledge	X			
	1			
input data and their processing		X		
used methods		Х		
degree of evaluation				
3. Criteria of thesis classification:	excellent	very good	satisfactory	unsatisfactory
depth of analysis of thesis	X		The aim of the	thesis was fulfilled.
logical construction of work	Х		The unit of the	inesis was juijinea.
		Thesis has log	ical order as needed	d in bachelor thesis.
work with literature and citations				
Citations are not proces.	sed according to the		of APA (especially el	ectronic resources).
adequacy of used methods		X Thosis has loo	isal order as needed	d in bachelor thesis.
design of work (text, graphs, tables)	Х	Thesis has log	icui oraei as needed	in buchelor thesis.
design of work (text, graphs, tables)		ell oraanized desiai	n, all the tables are e	easy to understand.
stylistic level	X	<u> </u>	Х	,
	Thesis	has very good styl	istic level, there is o	nly few misspelling.
	ı			
4. Usefulness of the thesis outcomes:		under average	average	above average
5. Comments and questions to answer:				
·		+b - +b:- Th	+ 6: - 66+ - 1	Sale a the annual to mak
There is no explanation of the subjective "chief problem" of the patient in the thesis. Therefore the final effect of the therapy is not also evaluated according patients subjective problem and the chapter "Evaluation of the effect of the therapy" is missing. Despite				
the objections mentioned above, the work meets the	•		it of the therapy is	missing. Despite
Question: What was the subjective feelings and chief problem of the patient?				
,, 3 2 a				
6. Recommendation for defence:	N(a	V	ES
o. Necommendation for defence:	140			LJ
7. Designed classificatory degree VERY GOOD (according to student's presentation/defence)				
Date: in Prague 27.4.2019 signature of the opponent				
		Sigi	natare or the oppor	III.