

Record of the dissertation thesis defence

Academic year: 2020/2021

Student's name and surname: Eddy Bruno Esien

Student's ID: 63878363

Type of the study programme: doctoral

Study programme: Public and Social Policy Branch of study: Public and Social Policy

Study ID: 564357

Title of the thesis: Third-country Young Immigrants' Transition to Work and Activation

Policies in Europe: A comparative case of Austria, Czech Republic,

and Finland.

Thesis department: Department of Public and Social Policy (23-KVSP)

Language of the thesis:EnglishLanguage of defence:English

Supervisor: prof. PhDr. Martin Potůček, CSc., M.Sc.

Reviewer(s): doc. PhDr. Jiří Winkler, Ph.D.

PhDr. Michaela Hiekischová, Ph.D.

Date of defence: 16.09.2021 **Venue of defence:** Praha

Attempt: regular

Votes of the board: pass: 2 fail: 1

Course of defence: The Defense Committee Chair welcomed all participants. In 30

minutes the student presented his thesis on Third-country Young Immigrants' Transition to Work and Activation Policies in Europe: A comparative case of Austria, Czech Republic, and Finland and

shortly described the main topic, theories, methodology, and findings

of the dissertation.

The chair of the Board presented reviews of the opponents and

stressed the critical points of doc. Winkler concerning the

methodology. Then he summarized the second opponent's review which doesn't recommend the theses for defence because of the relevance of the topic (how big exactly it is a public policy problem) and terminology of private agencies. Then he also presented the supervisor Prof. Potůček's questions concerning theories and

positions of private agencies.

The student answered the questions. He stressed the main theoretical

concept of connection with activisation.

Prof. Veselý turned back to the relevance of the topic. The student

answered in a general way.

Doc. Frič asked what the difference between the three countries in the case of benefits is and what is the position of the "private market

agencies". The student answered in a general way.

Prof. Veselý points out to make difference between state and non-

state and private and public.

Doc. Mansfeldová asked about the policy targeted on young immigrants and how the student identified it within the employment policy because the student described it in a non-specific way. The student illustrated the difference in the practical case. Doc. Frič had a remark on generalization and put the question on the distinction between organisations. The student answered. Prof. Veselý asked how many respondents the student interviewed. Student answered.

Result of defence:	pass (P)	
Chair of the board:	prof. PhDr. Arnošt Veselý, Ph.D. (present)	
Committee members:	doc. PhDr. Pavol Frič, Ph.D. (present)	
	PhDr. Mgr. Zdenka Mansfeldová, CSc. (present)	