



Diploma Thesis Evaluation Form

Author: Vittorio Macagno

Title: Artificial Intelligence as the upcoming Revolution in Military Affairs. The Cases of the United States and China through the lens of Strategic Culture

Programme/year: MISS, 21/22

Author of Evaluation (supervisor/external assessor): Petr Spelda

Criteria	Definition	Maximum	Points
Major Criteria			
	Research question, definition of objectives	10	10
	Theoretical/conceptual framework	30	28
	Methodology, analysis, argument	40	34
<i>Total</i>		80	
Minor Criteria			72
	Sources	10	10
	Style	5	5
	Formal requirements	5	5
<i>Total</i>		20	20
TOTAL		100	92



Evaluation

Major criteria:

The dissertation examines the potential of ML/AI to bring about a new revolution in military affairs. The analysis relies on three major components: (i) an evaluation of the current state in ML/AI, (ii) a robust and comprehensive literature review of revolutions in military affairs, and, finally, (iii) a technologically oriented perspective on strategic cultures.

The most valuable conceptual turn is the decision to study ML/AI through perceptions and subsequent social constructions which the technology produces within strategic cultures. Further, it needs to be emphasized that the individual components of the literature review are exceptionally well crafted. The resulting conclusions are, thus, valuable on multiple levels, reaching correct and informed insights regarding the military perceptions of ML/AI by stakeholders informing strategic cultures.

Minor criteria:

All minor criteria were observed.

Based on the anti-plagiarism software checks, it is formally confirmed that the submitted thesis is original and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, does not, in an ethically unacceptable manner, draw from the works of other authors.

Overall evaluation:

This is an excellent dissertation, both in the research design and its empirical execution. The only minor shortcoming is a lesser degree of operationalization when it comes to connecting the individual components entering the analysis.



**FACULTY
OF SOCIAL SCIENCES**
Charles University

Suggested grade: A (92)

Signature