



## Posudek na diplomovou práci

Autorka práce: Katarína Drevená

Název práce: Gender equality, women's participation in the post-conflict society, and civil war recurrence

Obor/Rok: MV/2022

Autorka posudku (oponentka práce): Anna Kotvalová

Kritéria	Definice	Max. bodů	Získané body
<b>Hlavní kritéria</b>			
	Výzkumná otázka, formulace problému	<b>10</b>	7
	Teoretický konceptuální rámec	<b>30</b>	30
	Metodologie, analýza argumentace	<b>40</b>	38
<i>Celkem</i>		<b>80</b>	75
<b>Vedlejší kritéria</b>			
	Zdroje	<b>10</b>	10
	Styl	<b>5</b>	5
	Formální kritéria	<b>5</b>	5
<i>Celkem</i>		<b>20</b>	20
<b>CELKEM</b>		<b>100</b>	95



## **Slovní hodnocení:**

### **Hlavní kritéria:**

Overall, this is well developed master thesis, research design is well argued and structured, hypothesis is well posted and presented, I appreciate the extensive literature review and theoretical background where the author works with a great number of sources and also understands the ontological challenges this research raises. Regarding the analyses and discussion of the results, it was a bit disappointing that the analyses did not bring any interesting or challenging results. Unfortunately, it was obviously possible to predict such results since it is extremely difficult and unreliable to work with causal mechanism in researching IR feminism (although it is obviously sometimes done). In general, I believe that if the author's capacities were devoted to interpretative/qualitative research, the results and analysis might have been more insightful. But in general, it is a good example of sophisticated and well-developed research.

### **Vedlejší kritéria:**

I have no complains regarding the minor criteria. The language of this thesis is sophisticated, the thesis works with a great number of sources and formal criteria holds. The text is well structured and coherent.

### **Vyjádření k plagiariismu:**

The check for plagiarism (URKUND) found only 3% which is within the norm.

### **Celkové hodnocení:**

In general, this is a great example of informative and sophisticated master thesis, I have very few complaints regarding the execution of the research. Overall, the student devoted substantial time and energy to the text, the research design is coherent and well developed, the research question and hypotheses are well argued and posted. Personally, I value both the extensive literature review and the two types of theoretical hypotheses (biological essentialism and social constructivism) since this is the ontological division within IR feminism (and feminism more generally). It is clear to me that the student understands the issue and all related problems and that she did extensive research on the giving topic. Obviously, due to my own academic focus, I also appreciate the devotion to IR feminism. I have only one general problem with the research and that is the decision to explore this topic through quantitative method.



**FAKULTA  
SOCIÁLNÍCH VĚD**  
Univerzita Karlova

Although it is not unprecedented in feminist IR research to pose qualitative questions and search for causal relations within social and political phenomena, in this particular case I believe it would be more beneficial to go into more quantitative methods and desert the causal/positivist inquiry completely. Especially, since the results of this research are not conclusive since obviously to look and find causality in this kind of research is problematic due to the ontological questions, many other relevant factors and context specific issues (which the author also acknowledges in the conclusion). But overall, the author did quality research despite the issue I raised.

**Výsledná známka: A**

**Podpis:**