











IMSISS Dissertation Feedback & Mark Sheet

Student Matriculation No.	Glasgow 2557322 DCU 20109709 Charles 83083963 Trento	
Dissertation Title	The Economic Warfare of the European Union against Russia: An Assessment of the Political Effects	

INDIVIDUAL INSTITUTION GRADING

Reviewer 1 Initial Grade	Reviewer 2 Initial Grade	Late Submission Penalty N/A		
Word Count Penalty (1-15% over/under = 1gr point; 15-20% over/under = 2 gr points; 20-25% over/under = 3 gr points; more than 25% over/under = 0 fail)				
Word Count: 20722 Suggested Penalty: no penalty				

JOINT GRADING (subject to agreement of the external examiner and approval at Joint Exam Board)

Final Agreed Mark. (Following correspondence reviewers should list the agreed final internal grade taking before and after any penalties to be applied).

Before Penalty: A5 [18] After Penalty: A5 [18]

DISSERTATION FEEDBACK

Assessment Criteria	Rating		
A. Structure and Development of Answer			
This refers to your organisational skills and ability to construct an argument in a coherent and original manner			
Originality of topic	Excellent		
Coherent set of research questions and/or hypothesis identified	Excellent		
Appropriate methodology and evidence of effective organisation of work	Excellent		
Logically structured argument and flow of ideas reflecting research questions	Excellent		
Application of theory and/or concepts	Excellent		
B. Use of Source Material			
This refers to your skills to select and use relevant information and data in a correct manner			
Evidence of reading and review of published literature	Excellent		
Selection of relevant primary and/or secondary evidence to support argument	Excellent		
Critical analysis and evaluation of evidence	Very Good		
Accuracy of factual data	Excellent		
C. Academic Style			
This refers to your ability to write in a formal academic manner			
Appropriate formal and clear writing style	Excellent		
Accurate spelling, grammar and punctuation	Excellent		
Consistent and accurate referencing (including complete bibliography)	Excellent		













IMSISS Dissertation Feedback & Mark Sheet

Is the dissertation free from plagiarism?

Yes

• Evidence of ethics approval included (if required based on methodology)

Not required

Appropriate word count
 Yes

ADDITIONAL WRITTEN COMMENTS

Reviewer 1

The economic sanctions enjoy increasing popularity among western policymakers. However, the ability of sanctions to achieve their political objectives vis-a-vis the target states remains questionable at best. This dissertation contributes to the growing literature about the effectiveness of sanctions with a timely case study of the EU sanctions against Russia.

There is much to like about this dissertation. First, it clearly delineates its objectives. Second, it is continuously situated in the existing literature about economic sanctions. Third, it uses appropriate methodology and clearly outlines how it measures the success of economic sanctions. Fourth, it is empirically knowledgeable. Last, it explicitly answers its questions, makes sound conclusions, and discusses its implication for theory and policy.

My only critical comment (and this is a minor one) goes to the discussion of the political effects of individual rounds of the EU's sanctions against Russia. I think Russia's reaction to them could have been introduced in more detail as this is a critical part of measuring the success of economic sanctions. This critique, however, does not challenge the overall positive impression. Overall, I think this is an excellent dissertation.

Reviewer 2

The dissertation deals with a very topical issue. The topic of economic warfare in its effects has been studied for some time now and there is interesting literature on the effects. The candidate has compiled a sufficient bibliography, but it could be supplemented with the articles/books by Giumelli (on the effectiveness of sanctions) and by Mulder (a very interesting book on economic warfare as it developed between the two world wars). The description of the sanctions packages adds little to the overall work. The work is interesting and certainly deserves a positive assessment.