



FAKULTA  
SOCIÁLNÍCH VĚD  
Univerzita Karlova

## Zápis o části závěrečné státní zkoušky Záznam o průběhu obhajoby

Akademický rok: 2022/2023

**Jméno a příjmení studenta:** Jiří Kos  
**Identifikační číslo studenta:** 41000203

**Typ studijního programu:** navazující magisterský  
**Studijní program:** Ekonomické teorie  
**Studijní obor:** Ekonomie a finance  
**ID studia:** 678043

**Název práce:** Uncertainty and House Prices: Empirical Evidence  
**Pracoviště práce:** Institut ekonomických studií (23-IES)  
**Jazyk práce:** angličtina  
**Jazyk obhajoby:** čeština  
**Vedoucí:** prof. Roman Horváth, Ph.D.  
**Oponent(i):** PhDr. Michal Hlaváček, Ph.D.  
**Datum obhajoby:** 01.02.2023    **Místo obhajoby:** Praha  
**Termín:** řádný

**Průběh obhajoby:** The student presented the thesis to the evaluation committee and outlined the research question, the method of testing, the main results, and their implications. The evaluation committee went through the main comments raised in the supervisor's and the opponent's reports and invited the student to respond to them. The evaluation committee appreciated that the author chose to analyze a research question relevant to the current socio-economic debate that may have important policy implications. On the other hand, the committee considered the endogenous nature of the economic fundamentals, the economic policy uncertainty, and the house prices as the main issue that cast doubt on whether the empirical results presented in the thesis can actually be interpreted the way the author does. The committee also concluded that the thesis makes only a modest contribution to prior literature. Trading off the thesis's strengths and weaknesses and discussing them with the author, the evaluation committee appreciated how well the author responded to the questions. The responses demonstrated that the author is aware of the limitations of his empirical approach he understands their potential implications for the interpretation of the results. The committee concluded that despite the above-mentioned limitations, the thesis's strengths outweigh the objections and decided on grade B.

<b>Výsledek obhajoby:</b>	výborně (B)	
<b>Předseda komise:</b>	doc. PhDr. Adam Geršl, Ph.D. (přítomen)	.....
<b>Členové komise:</b>	doc. PhDr. Jozef Baruník, Ph.D. (přítomen)	.....
	Jiří Novák, M.Sc., Ph.D. (přítomen)	.....
	Mgr. Magda Pečená, Ph.D. (přítomen)	.....