



FAKULTA  
SOCIÁLNÍCH VĚD  
Univerzita Karlova

## Zápis o části závěrečné státní zkoušky Záznam o průběhu obhajoby

Akademický rok: 2022/2023

**Jméno a příjmení studenta:** Bc. Peter Kravec  
**Identifikační číslo studenta:** 15021411

**Typ studijního programu:** navazující magisterský  
**Studijní program:** Ekonomické teorie  
**Studijní obor:** Ekonomie a finance  
**ID studia:** 678427

**Název práce:** The Effect of Financial Incentives on Vaccination Rates: Quasi-experimental Evidence from Slovakia  
**Pracoviště práce:** Institut ekonomických studií (23-IES)  
**Jazyk práce:** angličtina  
**Jazyk obhajoby:** čeština  
**Vedoucí:** prof. PhDr. Tomáš Havránek, Ph.D.  
**Oponent(i):** PhDr. Mgr. Jana Votápková, Ph.D.  
**Datum obhajoby:** 01.02.2023    **Místo obhajoby:** Praha  
**Termín:** řádný

**Průběh obhajoby:** The student presented the thesis to the evaluation committee and outlined the research question, the method of testing, the main results, and their implications. The evaluation committee went through the main comments raised in the supervisor's and the opponent's reports and invited the student to respond to them. The evaluation committee appreciated the research question the student decided to explore. The question is very important to investigate and very up-to-date. The committee also appreciated the information from the student's supervisor that the author of the thesis formulated the research question independently, which underscores the value of the thesis. The evaluation committee also appreciated the regression discontinuity design the student used to test his hypotheses. The committee was less convinced about the conclusions based on the cost-benefit analysis. The committee concluded that the author provided only a somewhat good explanation of the Bass Diffusion Model model, and he did not quite convincingly motivate its appropriateness in this setting. The evaluation committee also concluded that they would appreciate more comprehensive responses to the questions by the committee members. The committee concluded that despite the above-mentioned limitations, the thesis's strengths outweigh the objections and decided on grade A.

<b>Výsledek obhajoby:</b>	výborně (A)	
<b>Předseda komise:</b>	doc. PhDr. Adam Geršl, Ph.D. (přítomen)	.....
<b>Členové komise:</b>	doc. PhDr. Jozef Baruník, Ph.D. (přítomen)	.....
	Jiří Novák, M.Sc., Ph.D. (přítomen)	.....
	Mgr. Magda Pečená, Ph.D. (přítomen)	.....