DIPLOMA THESIS OPPONENT'S REFERENCE

Study program: Master degree PHYSIOTHERAPY					
Opponent's name:	Mgr.Ilona Kučerová				
Author's name:	Belinda Hlomayi				
Supervisor's name:	doc. PaedDr. Dagmar Pavlů, CSc.				
		0 1 1,111			
The title of the diploma thesis:					
Effectivity of Combined Rhythmic Auditory Stimulation Rehabilitation Approach or	n Gait post Stroke	9			
The aim of the diploma thesis:					
To evaluate the extent in which Rhythmic Auditory Stimulation (RAS) combined th RAS combined therapy ia a more effective appraoch than conventional physoither			for stroke patie	nts and whether	
1. Scope:					
number of thesis / text pages		82/			
number of used sources - English / foreign language	121/0				
others	tables	fig./photos	graphs	supplements	
	19	6	0	0	
2. Formal and language level of the thesis:	excellent	very good	good	unsatisfactory	
choice and definition of the topic, originality		x			
fulfillment degree of the thesis goal	х				
thesis structure, logic		x			
work with literature, use of citation standard	x				
work editing (text, graphs, pictures, tables)			х		
level of the text style	х				
3. Evaluating criteria of the thesis's theoretical part:	excellent	very good	good	unsatisfactory	
analysis and interpretation of literary review	х				
application of bibliography results to connect to the experimental part		х			
	degree of evaluation			т	
4. Evaluating criteria of the thesis's special part:	excellent	very good	good	unsatisfactory	
hypotheses - relevance and quality of their definition					
wasanah sah adamuan af salastian	· v			I	
research set - adequacy of selection	Х				
methodology - used evaluation methods and their quality	v				
inethodology - used evaluation methods and their quality	Х				
results - presentation and interpretation	v				
results presentation and interpretation	Х				
statistical processing and data analysis		Х			
Statistical processing and data analysis		^			
discussion - interpretation of results in relation to current knowledge		v			
anscussion - interpretation of results in relation to current knowledge		Х			
conclusion - self-evaluation level of the thesis	v				
Conclusion - Self-evaluation level of the thesis	Х				

4. Usefulness of the thesis results in practice:

below average

average

above average

5. Additional commentary and evaluation, questions for the defense:

The topic of master theses is interesting. I have just coments on page number 5 there are two pictures (figures) of each other. On page number 6 is missing figure number 2. On page 36 figure number 6 could be smaller and also on page 37 there is some figure or table which does not have description and graphic edit is not very valueble. On page number 56 table number 14 is situated on page 55. Why? On page number 61 table 19 text in tble is illegible.

6. Statement of the opponent:

I declare that all referenced sources are properly cited or paraphrased in the thesis.

7. Recommendation for the defense:	yes	yes with reservations	no		
8. Proposed classification level:	very good				
In Prague:16th May 2023	Kučerová opponent's signature				