



Report on the part of the final state examination Record of the thesis defence

Academic year: 2022/2023

Student's name and surname: Shivam Sen
Student's ID: 29209083

Type of the study programme: Master's (post-Bachelor)
Study programme: Society, Communication and Media
Study ID: 679637

Title of the thesis: Digital Discourse Analysis of Posthumanism in Open Access Academia
Thesis department: Department of Sociology (23-KS)
Language of the thesis: English
Language of defence: English
Advisor: doc. Mgr. Martin Hájek, Ph.D.
Reviewer(s): Mgr. Jakub Sedláček, Ph.D.
Date of defence: 22.06.2023 **Venue of defence:** Praha
Attempt: regular

Course of defence: The student presented his thesis on the topic of Digital Discourse Analysis of Posthumanism in Open Access Academia.

After the introduction of the thesis topic the student presented his research aims, theoretical background, methods, citation network analysis, results and conclusions.

The supervisor proposed grade B and suggested the following questions to be discussed: How could power in discourse be analysed in more detail?

The opponent proposed grade C and suggested the following comments to be discussed: If possible, further clarifying how and why the data/methods can be used to answer the proposed research questions. If not, proposing a possible alternative set of research questions (subquestions) suitable for the data/methods.

After the reviews were read the student answered to the comments and questions in the reviews. The student is persuaded that he answered all the research questions with the data and methods he used for this purpose. He explained the reason for the used of the research methods and admitted a specific limitation of the these methods.

The committee discussed with the student about the methodological decision of the students, the academic discourse and limit of his work. Another committee member asked questions whether the student observed also any differences in the regards of post-humanism, if some specific notions are related to some specific

disciplines.

The student reacted well to all the questions and comments.

The committee agreed that the thesis is a contribution to the field. The committee appreciated this work as innovative and original with eligible arguments.

The committee decided to give the grade B.

Result of defence:

excellent (B)

Chair of the board:

doc. PhDr. Dino Numerato, Ph.D. (present)

Committee members:

prof. PhDr. Hynek Jeřábek, CSc. (present)

PhDr. Lenka Vochocová, Ph.D. (present)