



# Report on the part of the final state examination Record of the thesis defence

Academic year: 2022/2023

<b>Student's name and surname:</b>	James Viking Niiler
<b>Student's ID:</b>	34321991
<b>Type of the study programme:</b>	Master's (post-Bachelor)
<b>Study programme:</b>	Journalism, Media and Globalisation
<b>Study ID:</b>	722024
<b>Title of the thesis:</b>	Journalistic Perspectives on Nordic Identity in Estonia
<b>Thesis department:</b>	Department of Journalism (23-KZ)
<b>Language of the thesis:</b>	English
<b>Language of defence:</b>	English
<b>Advisor:</b>	Mgr. Anna Shavit, Ph.D.
<b>Reviewer(s):</b>	Mgr. Ing. Kateřina Turková, Ph.D.
<b>Date of defence:</b>	26.06.2023
<b>Attempt:</b>	<b>Venue of defence:</b> Praha regular
<b>Course of defence:</b>	<p>The supervisor introduced the candidate to the committee. Subsequently, the candidate presented the thesis and continued by reading the reviews by the committee.</p> <p>Defense:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Supervisor reviewed that the thesis was original with some complexity. The research objectives and design were clearly articulated. The findings were interesting. Supervisor asked the question about the possibility of changing the initial viewpoint from the author in the future.</li><li>- Opponent agreed with the supervisor reviews. The authors described the steps transparently. The input was more the style of writing which was more journalistic style of writing instead of academic. The opponent asked 2 questions: quantitative methods could possibly be enriched/strengthen the findings, and changing possibilities if the research conducted in the future was about how to make</li><li>- The student answered the two questions from the opponent by explaining how to strengthen the research methodology and the discussions. He also asserted that the change would not happen regarding the second question from the opponent.</li><li>- The student subsequently comprehensively answered the supervisor's question about the changing initial viewpoint.</li><li>- The student also answered the additional question by the committee member clearly about the possibilities of racism in the Scandinavian countries regarding the findings.</li></ul>

**Result of defence:** excellent (A)

**Chair of the board:** doc. PhDr. Alice Němcová Tejkalová, Ph.D. ....  
(present)

**Committee members:** doc. Robert Silverio, Ph.D. (present) .....

Mgr. Ing. Kateřina Turková, Ph.D. (present) .....

Mgr. Sandra Lábová (present) .....