BACHELOR THESIS OPPONENT'S REFERENCE

Study program: SPECIALIZATION IN HEALTH SERVICE - Bachelor degree

level of the work evaluation in relation to current knowledge

Study branch: PHYSIOTHERAPY						
Opponent's name:	Ph.D. Helena V	Ph.D. Helena Vomáčková, Ph.D.				
Author's name:	Asem Abdelga	Asem Abdelgawad				
Supervisor's name:	Mgr. Kateřina	Mgr. Kateřina Maršáková				
Retrieved:	July 2023					
Netileven.	July 2023					
The title of the bachelor thesis:						
Case study of physiotherapy treatment of a patient after a pertrochanteric fracture of the proximal right femur						
The aim of the bachelor thesis:						
The aim of the thesis is to evaluate the impact of physiotherapy approach provide fracture of the proximal right femur.	d to a patient w	vho was diagnos	sed with a pert	rochanteric		
1. Scope:						
number of thesis / text pages		96/ 88				
number of used sources		T I	13			
others	tables 41	figures 8	graphs 0	supplements 5		
	41	0	U	3		
1. Formal and language level of thesis:	excellent	very good	good	unsatisfactory		
fulfilment degree of the thesis goal		х				
work with literature, use of citation standard			х			
work editing (text, graphs, pictures, tables)		v	Х			
level of the text style		Х				
		degree of	evaluation			
3. Evaluating criteria of the thesis:	excellent	very good	good	unsatisfactory		
quality of the theoretical content and processing			х			
The quality of processing corresponds to the demands of the bachelor's thes						
theoretical part lacks a comprehensive text in which the knowledge and outcome	s of current mod		rapeutic technic I be systematico			
logical structure of the thesis and balance of the chapters		Х				
The theoretical part of the thesis is logically organized into chapters describing the surgical treatment. The anatomy, kinesiology and biomechanics of the joint are at the theoretical part also briefly deals with general physiotherapeutic approaches and innovative techniques. Chapter 3.1 type of work and from whice	also mentioned v oaches, which a 1 Methodology o	very briefly in the re not complem of the thesis is w	he chapter. At t nented by publis written without	the same time, shed results of specifying the		
chosen examination techniques, design and their recording		Х				
The examination techniques chosen for the initial and final examinations in kinesiology correspond to the knowledge of the bachelor's degree programme. Their selection is appropriate to the diagnosis and their record often does not include the direction of the examination (e.g. Table 16; Chapter 3.4) and the name of the author of the examination/treatment technique is often missing (e.g. Chapter 3.3.11 and whole practical part of the thesis).						
ability to evaluate the intervention and interpretation of the results		Х				
The evaluation of the therapy is presented in a separate chapter (chapter 3.9, therapy in tables (table number 35-41), where again the description of the direct author of the examination technique used are missing. The thesis also included incorporation of EMB techniques into the	ction of the exan	mination (table discussion (Cha	number 40, 41, apter 3.10.) refe	,) and the erring to the		

Unfortunately, the conclusion of the thesis lacks an evaluation of the fulfilment of the objectives of the thesis itself. On the other hand, the personal conclusion expresses the student's personal view of therapy and the possibility of increasing his expertise in a patient with a

proximal femur fracture.

4. Additional commentary and evaluation, questions for the defence:

Despite the objections mentioned above, the treatment of this undergraduate meets the requirements for the knowledge and approach of physiotherapy at a bachelor's level. I am convinced that Mr. Asem Mohamed Abdelgawad has performed all the therapeutic techniques as "lege artis" (although the authors of the techniques are not named in the text) as he has learned and mastered them during his studies. I recommend the thesis for defence and ask the student to A) comment on the therapeutic technique that he considers most beneficial for the patient; B) suggest a therapeutic technique based on neurophysiological foundations that would increase the patient's functional capacity.

5. Statement of the opponent:

I declare that all referenced sources are properly cited or paraphrased in the thesis. The thesis has been evaluated by the similarity test (Theses - Turnitin). The similarity protocols of the thesis are part of the electronic documentation of the thesis (in SIS) and reflects a 71% overall similarity (Theses.cz) and a 35% overall similarity (Turnitin).

6. Recommendation for the defence:		yes	yes with reservations	no	
7. Proposed classification level:	very go	od - good (according to the defence)			
In Prague August 23rd 2023.			omáčková, Ph.D s signature).	