

# American media and culture wars


63 odpovědí

[Publikovat analýzu](#)

## What is your gender?

[Kopírovat](#)


63 odpovědí



## What is your age?

[Kopírovat](#)


63 odpovědí




## What is your race?

[Kopírovat](#)

63 odpovědí




▲ 1/2 ▼



### What is your religion?

Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



### Which state do you live in? (If you are not currently in the US, choose the state you were born in)

Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



### Which area do you live in?

Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



## Are you college educated?

 Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



## How do you identify yourself opinion-wise?

 Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



## Which party do you usually vote for? (If not sure, choose the party you voted for in the last election)

 Kopírovat

63 odpovědí




## Opinion profile



I believe Donald Trump was a good president.

 Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



I believe Joe Biden is doing a good job as the US president.

 Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



I believe that America has gotten weaker on the world stage in the recent years.

 Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



I believe religious freedoms should be better protected by the US law.

 Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



I believe overturning Roe v Wade by the Supreme Court was a good decision.

 Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



I believe the right to own a gun is an unalienable part of the American identity.

 Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



I believe the rights of the LGBT+ community are under threat in the US.

 Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



I believe the US institutions and laws to be systemically racist.

 Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



I believe the right to have an abortion should be enshrined in the Constitution.

 Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



I believe the state should guarantee free healthcare.

Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



I believe apps like TikTok present a security threat to the United States.

Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



I believe apps like TikTok should be banned in the US.

Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



I believe the results of the 2020 Presidential election were not transparent.

 Kopírovat

63 odpovědí




### Media selection

This video presents objective information.

 Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



This video is not biased.

 Kopírovat

63 odpovědí






I agree with the opinions presented in the video.

 Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



This video is an example of good quality journalism.

 Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



This video spreads misinformation.


 Kopírovat

63 odpovědí



I believe the guest(s) or politician(s) appearing in this video were chosen on purpose to fit the agenda of the media outlet. / I believe the presenter in the video has an agenda.

63 odpovědí



Please provide a short answer detailing your opinions on the presented video and arguments which support that opinion:

50 odpovědí

Andy Ngo has been documented affiliating and organizing with groups such as the Proud Boys and Patriot Prayer, watching as they attack protesters and then only uploading the response, making it seem as though the aforementioned groups were attacked unprovoked.

I believe the video depicts accurate information about the mental health issues of the trans community. If we take the data that was presented as true it is a troubling trend. But there are always two sides to every story. For example: if it's true that the trans community is on a percentage basis much more prone to radicalization we have to ask why. If we leave that as a blanket statement people are left to their own assumptions. If you're liberal you'll likely say it's because they are oppressed and under attack. If you're conservative you'll say they have mental health issues and need help. I don't believe you have to pick a side here. Both positions can be true.

I think that while fox does tend to be more conservative, they did a good job looking at actual facts and numbers and giving a true portrayal

Video does not provide enough information. Obviously the guest is biased bc all that he is researching/writing about is focused on radicalized leftists. But he doesn't provide enough data for me to say to what extent there is bias. I would need to be a better expert on the subject to have a stronger opinion.

In short, there is some truth there, but there is a lot of incomplete information in there

FOX is a conservative news media outlet. They don't really appreciate the separation of church and state. They live in the past instead of supporting progression and pro-choice. The focus is discussing the negatives of the LGBTQ community because it's their agenda. I'm "Pro-Choice" unless it affects other individuals than yourself.

Good journalism would present both pros and cons and delve into the statistics, which would include a broader look. The basis for this video is so skewed to only show the point they are trying to make and make it seem as if only violent crimes are being committed by trans people when they are the overwhelming minority.

All news has become biased and based upon the owners schedule. The data presented is based upon years of prior research. To say that medical opinion will change in a couple years is ridiculous. Schools and news should be presented as only facts yet we have made it opinion based. You should purposefully manipulate someone to think any way.

The video is bias due to the fact it only presents one political party's viewpoint. It immediately associates ANTIFA to the incident and trans individuals. There is no concrete facts that support this opinion.

These dudes are fucking idiots.

I didn't feel like watching it so I just put 3 on everything



There is no such thing as extremist left. Just people fighting for their rights to live. If that's "extreme" to you then you are the problem.

Not surprised Fox News is ridiculous as always

Tucker Carlsons disgusting rhetoric seeks only to villainize trans people and remove them from the population. the fact that a rally held to raise awareness about anti trans legislation was used as a talking point attempting to paint trans people as the problem was distasteful at least.

Tucker Carlson is a known propagator of misinformation and is known to misrepresent facts in his stories in order to further spread fear and hate among people who don't have other sources of information. This is another example of Carlson's show making an attempt to further promote fear of the trans community according to their usual method of applying an obscure (often unreliable) piece of information to all people within a group to foster hate.

I think the video raises one valid point of discussion - can lack of regulation of child gender transition be harmful and lead to distress? However it makes the point with an extreme bias equating all those who support young people transitioning with terrorism. The tone is not neutral and misleading, presenting what is essentially propaganda as a news debate.

I don't like tucker Carlson

I believe the guest didn't have a bias, they just presented what they were there to present. And it was the fox host who would take the information just stated and twist the information to fit their narrative about any involvement in the trans community causes harm/danger.

Nothing out of that man's mouth has ever been non agenda

Fox/Tucker have a bias but facts are facts.

Tucker Carlson's controversial hot takes are simply for outrage porn and entertainment. It sells.

Regarding bias or agenda, this piece is clearly biased against the left, with the guest making sure to correlate left wing extremism, to gender dysphoria, to mental health problems and a violent environment. My biggest issue with the reporting is that many rates and statistics are mentioned without giving the actual, objective numbers and letting the audience draw conclusions.

It would be better journalism if Ngo presented some figures from the studies mentioned or examples of online extremism referenced. Carlson is clearly in agreement, not merely reporting.

This is yellow journalism at its worst.

I like to listen to both sides, and let me make the decision as to what is something I align with most. The video presents mostly evidence and a bit of opinions. Something that is more easily



digestible and evidence is the concrete piece of information needed.

I believe this news outlet purposely used the events that happened at the christian school as a way to target the extremist trans community. They portray them as violent extremist with mental issue that paint them in a bad light. The two folks talking also refer to them in a disrespectful way, making it evident that their own opinions were inputted into this discussion, thus making this news segment extremely biased.

It is hard to find fault in a video condemning a school shooter. I see no unfair arguments here.

your code is missing

Starting the video talking about the opposing political party shows bias and the rest of what is said should not be taken as seriously rather than someone who just talks about facts.

The concept of trans fringe is tolerable.

Fox is an extremley biased news outlet

it lacks information it is good journalism thou

ANTIFA has been made out to be an extremist movement and they ask "specialists" that will repeat what the sentiment of Fox News is.

This video made me feel that the new channel is trying to spread the misconception that trans people are violent, ill, or disturbed. The new host correlates trans kids being "liberated" with violence and mental illness, that these people are being "driven to insanity".

I would have liked to learn more about the criminals committing those crimes, rather than trans/violence research.

The news reporter sounded really biased

The video included some information that referenced past studies, but interpreted the data from those studies to fit their own political agendas.

NA

I think generally Fox News is known to present more right side views, which contradicts in what I typically stand by politically.

unbiased information

Yes its biased, but it really fits my own views-- so tough to call it misinformed

My opinion is that the video presented is an editorial/opinion piece. Evidence is that their conversation is peppered with opinion. The program is named after the presenter with the word, "Show" at the end. It is therefore obvious that it is not journalism.



well explanatory

That is a clearly biased news piece framing trans people in the US as violent antifa members. The statistics he quoted I bet aren't true. That is a minority of trans people.

All journalism in today's form is seemingly biased in my opinion. Everyone has some sort of agenda, which is shown in the reports they give and the stories they choose to cover.

It was accurately presented, there is an agenda with targeting the young in the transgender movement.

Clear, concise, some questionable statements!

It seems to negatively stereotype trans people.

I believe the way they deliver the news not directly says but implies their agenda and shows bias. The wording of the news delivers the message with a subtext which marginalizes some people within the society from lgbtq+ community.


i believe this video implies that trans/non cis gender people are prone to violence, and ignores that that violence is a reaction to the violence these people have probably experiences their entire lives.

Each news station will always give biased opinions to suit and make its viewers happy

This video presents objective information.

 Kopirovat


63 odpovědí



This video is not biased.

Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



I agree with the opinions presented in the video.

Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



This video is an example of good quality journalism.

Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



This video spreads misinformation.

 Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



I believe the guest(s) or politician(s) appearing in this video were chosen on purpose to fit the agenda of the media outlet. / I believe the presenter in the video has an agenda.

 Kopírovat

63 odpovědí





Please provide a short answer detailing your opinions on the presented video and arguments which support that opinion:

50 odpovědí

I am not familiar with the parties being interviewed, but part of protecting LGBTQ+ identities is allowing them to be included in health and sexual education courses. I am not aware if the schools they are talking about include this in kindergarten like they are claiming, although I am doubtful based on my own Californian education, but if they are really concerned about exposing children to health classes too early then the conversation would be centered on when to teach health classes and not if queer people should be included. It seems to be the classic "think of the children" strategy used by the right since before stonewall

I believe everything in this video is accurate and as a conservative this is very concerning. We are at a point in this country that many believe the State should have more rights and control over children than their own parents. Disgusting. And this has in part happened by slandering people like DeSantis over the "don't say gay" bill. No where in the bill does it say that. The law simply doesn't allow teachers to discuss age inappropriate sexual content to 3rd graders or under.

Florida and Texas in particular get a really bad rap for just trying to protect their children from a very biased liberal narrative and agenda

The 2 panelists and host are from right leaning new organizations. That's all you need to know in terms of bias and fairness.

Regarding the subject itself, as I don't have children and don't pay attention to the current debate going on in school education - I don't know what's true and what is not but I don't believe any of them used facts but opinions in their explanations

No comment

Same deal. Drive the agenda of the conservative Republican party. Fascists got to do book burnings, apparently that's what we are doing today.

Similar to the first segment, they do not provide information on what is being taught, only using claims of violation of rights without context. The host at one point agrees with what is being said rather than stay neutral or challenging what is being said with any facts at all.

The anchor actually did a good job keeping it medium and centered maybe slightly right as its fox News. But overall, good. However, as you can likely tell from my previous comment... I align with this. Schools are meant to be facts only not ideology based. Teachers, school boards, etc. Should be held accountable for deviating from this ... harshly.

I think that it is stated at the beginning that it is a group of specific type of moms speaking on their belief. Although controversial what they are talking about, it is a side of the conversation.

Both the parents being interviewed have negative opinions about the far left and continue to say bad things about that political group. There is no other person that has the opposite



opinion being interviewed. It is good to have someone with opposing opinion to cover all view points.

If these people wanted their children to be sheltered they should have taken them to a christian school.

Didn't watch it

It is objectively not objective. They say things like "that's crazy" and "it seems like". ALSO- gender identity is not sexual?? They only say that because to them sex=gender and being non-straight=having sex 24/7. I cannot comprehend how letting kids know they have more options than male/female would be harmful or sexual. Mind you, these are the same people who want Jesus to be taught in school.

Reminds me of "Don't Say Gay" how dare we encourage and teach kids open mindedness and let women make their own choices about their own body. This is 100% sarcasm

there's not a single rational argument within this idea. the guest speakers dramatize and inflate the reality of what is actually happening in the school rooms claiming children are being shown sexual content when the actual issue has to do with educating children on the normalcy of having same sex parents. when the speakers talk about abortion they mostly speak in criticisms of the left rather than producing actual meaningful commentary on an issue that affects millions of women across the country.

I feel that this video is biased towards opinions that are not my own but not in as extreme a way as the Tucker Carlson segment viewed previously. Nonetheless, I feel it largely misrepresents current political situations to viewers by expressing sentiments not grounded in fact (the statement that abortion is not an important issue for the midterms and the statement that democrats are indoctrinating youth somehow).

Again, the video asks a valid question - is gender education appropriate in public school settings for young children? However it presents the premise based on opinion and all three people speaking were echoing each other's views, so it's impossible to make an informed impression about the situation.

Not objective at all

The information presented wasn't false, but the way the host and guest from Florida were presenting the info was heavily biased towards being anti-trans. I agree with the guest from LA that trans related subject should be taken out of everyday class. It should be treated as information to be presented in a sex-ed class only, not in a random class where the teacher is pro-trans.

They are dumb is all I can say

Sounds like a pitch for this particular candidate. Little facts, mostly advertising for the campaign issues.

They express valid concerns, but it's cherry picked to fit a narrative.



All I could gather from that 4 minute video is that a Republican was running for school board in California. The rest was just pointless complaining.

It's basically a talk show segment. They bring on guests who talk about what they're doing, not to change minds or prove a point.

I think that stories like these are pushing the anti gay and anti woke agenda that scares me as an educator.

It will be nice if Names were provided for those that support abortion. But like the fact that there was a representative for California that also has the credentials to say and agree with the topic at hand as they are running for a government position.

This video did not present any concrete evidence of the arguments being made other than word-of-mouth therefore I think that takes away from their credibility.

code missing

I think that the interviewer does not seem very biased.

The video is an opinion piece on a reform, it can be interpreted either way.

fox is really biased

seems pushy

I think that the video heavily focuses on the what the parents want and what they believe is correct/what they want their kids to follow. They do not want their kids to have the resources to develop their own values, beliefs, and opinions outside of what the parent think is right. The channel guest states to just teach the school material to the kids and leave the morals, etc. to the parents. Again I think this is so they can control what their kids learn.

I would have liked to have more information on the exact public school curriculum in question, LA versus Florida.

The guests are very biased in my opinion

Feels like they picked guests who had strong opinions about the topic discuss their personal thoughts instead of objectively stating the news?

The information that some moms were trying to change their school boards was informational, but the rest was pushed at degrading a certain set of values in order to push their own. Good values don't need to be forced upon people, they are simply good.

NA

I actually agree with Fox News on this one because while discussing gender identity is important, I believe there is a certain age where children will start to develop their curiosity.



Rather than implementing this type of education into school curriculum, I think its better to leave this type of education out of elementary curriculum because of its sensitive nature.

unbiased information

I am not familiar with the presenter in the video, but I will assume it is part of that network's primetime lineup. They typically air editorial/opinion shows during that time. The clip shows the hallmark of opinion pieces that are little more than glorified social media interactions but apparently that sells. I tend to agree with their stated opinions though I would investigate these claims for myself before believing they are facts. I would not be surprised if these were paid actors and not the people they claim to be. There is no trustworthy media company.

unbiased info

While there is a point that some concepts being pushed explicitly to children in schools should wait. (Not to mean young people shouldn't be aware of LGTBTQ+ people and the community, they should. But like any two sided issue, certain teachers/professors will take their individual stance way to far in the classroom.) Goes both ways with Republicans freaking out over the M&M thing.

I believe school-made decisions regarding gender or sex are primarily to save face. I recently heard of a school that installed litter boxes for a group of students who believed they were cats. To me, that is unheard of, but I believe the school did it to make sure they were not publicly attacked.

Great job of exposing the ideology. Public schools should not be focusing on morals and sexuality. Math and English should be teaching just that. Parents decide when those things should be taught.

Education is important in Florida and sexual explicit materials should not be part of the system.

Certain matters regarding sexuality are not appropriate to be discussed in school.

The video is biased because it doesn't offer objective news but more of an opinion piece. The term woke agenda chooses a side from the beginning of the news and shapes peoples ideas without giving them the opposing view.

This video implies a connection in teaching children about being accepting of differences to teaching children about sexuality in a way that is not age appropriate. Children are taught gender from the moment they're born, though this is often limited to what they experience within their home. Unfortunately, sometimes they are taught that non-traditional genders are wrong, which can led to hatred for people that differ from what they have experienced.


Each news station will always give biased opinions to suit and make its viewers happy



This video presents objective information.

 Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



This video is not biased.

 Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



I agree with the opinions presented in the video.

 Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



This video is an example of a good quality journalism.

Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



This video spreads misinformation.

Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



I believe the guest(s) or politician(s) appearing in this video were chosen on purpose to fit the agenda of the media outlet. / I believe the presenter in the video has an agenda.

Kopírovat

63 odpovědí



Please provide a short answer detailing your opinions on the presented video and arguments which support that opinion:

47 odpovědí

They of course have an agenda, but I believe wanting to take down sites dedicated to stalking a doxing people is a good agenda to have

There's not much in the video as far as opinion goes. They were mostly talking about specific incidents in which we should take them at their word. It's tragic what this person has gone through and I applaud them for desiring to show them "more compassion" than her victimizers have shown her. I am for free speech and not excited when people are deplatformed, however, in this instance it seems it was the right call since we can objectively say that particular website has incited actual physical harm to an individual.

Swatting is far too common and a real issue, the issue I have with this report is that it makes it look like it only happens to transgender people, while in reality it's rarely targeted because of someone's sexuality or opinions

Although there are still facts missing from this reporting to show the larger context, there at least is a story that is clear and facts within that story.

It's stating - here's a website where people team up to do x,y,z. Here's an example of that. What's missing is how big a part that website is in the larger scheme of online bullying etc.

No comment

They did not reach out to the companies involved in the issue to understand their points of view and only read a statement that was generally provided.

Listen to the anchor. He didn't present anything as facts to be decided upon. He pushed a opinion and used language to already slant the entire conversation. Now... as for the harassment. He/she purposefully used their platform to advocate for something they believe in. But this is how the world has always works. They didn't like the backlash. They played a game people liked the game and were entertained. She pushed for an agenda in something for entertainment and disliked the reaction. That's on her. As for the specific harassment, I personally can care less what mental illness your dealing with. But the answer really is stop giving it attention. That's all.

The closing statement said that "it won't take all trolls and harassers away" that statement does not push an agenda but instead is neutral. They don't try too hard to convince the audience about a certain opinion, instead showcase the story of the individual.

This stupid country would rather fight the things they disagree with with violence rather than do it civilly. I hate this place.

Didn't watch it

It doesn't contain any opinions, it just details a certain event. I do think it might be slightly biased since it's CNN and they choose the topic they present in, but it does so objectively imo



Almost all media is biased now a days but seeing something that calls out the nasty behavior of people and them losing platform is nice

the news segment starts off as a summary of facts that leads into interviews with the person of interest who is the direct focus of extremist hate. the only clear bias the media shows is in favor of dismantling hate groups and eliminating their impact on the people they target.

I feel that this is a good solid news story. A real activist facing problems of violence should be reported on and she handled it in a calm manner, eventually offering compassion to those oppressing her. However, I cannot comment on whether it furthers a specific agenda for cnn because news companies are for profit businesses. I do not believe that the video strives to achieve a political agenda and I do think it was done in the least biased manner possible.

This video presented a lot more sources rather than relying on guests representing a specific viewpoint. However, the narrative was still following a particular moral agenda. More voices on the matter would have been helpful.

Not objective

Can't really comment on arguments as the video felt like a presentation of a situation that had happened.

Only opinion I have about the video is that yes internet hate groups should be done with. But neither host or guest seemed to be looking for further harassment of either party. The guest conveyed the actions they took to stop the harassment, and was presenting information about the source of their harassment.

i don't think cnn or fox are good

Again, local news story made national because it fits the broader political narrative.

All mainstream media are paid mouthpieces.

This is a very clear moralizing piece for the left, with interview cuts showing the damage caused by a right wing group while the narrator details a "David and Goliath" story of it being defeated. The reporting is very well done, focusing primarily on actual events rather than interpretation.

The report on an event exactly like the subject describes. Like the Fox segments, they don't bring on any counterpoints or claim to have verified anything.

I agree with the argument in the CNN first video, but I am aware that it is biased.

No opinion on this matter, as if terms of service were violated from users - then yeah, the right to ban/delete is fine. But if no terms of service are being violated, then is alright as the people there are grown up and should know what platform they are signup to.

What I like about this particular news segment is that it is not biased and does not push any personal ideologies to the public, but rather inform one of the danger some social media





platforms impose which everyone can agree with.

By interviewing the Twitch streamer they were able to gain credibility for their arguments, however, the man at the beginning of the video used a lot of inflammatory language which made it seem less credible.

missing code

The opinion posted by this woman is completely fair and they are totally valid. Verbal abuse of any kind is not good for a collective society.

cnn is super biased

Its not bad I believe we need to support minorities simply based on the fact that the are human and they should have thee freedom to make their own decisions about how they lead their life

My opinion of this video is that it shows even though this trans steamer wasn't doing anything to those people they still attacked them. Those type of people just don't like that you aren't conforming to their standard of "normal" so they will go out of your way to make your life miserable. In example, they sent a raid to their house, tracked them multiple times (even out of their country), hacked into their personal accounts.

I liked how I was provided with background information about kiwi farms platform.

Information is laid out and the reporters don't discuss their personal views

The video provides details about the website and the experience of the person who was receiving gender-based hate online. However, there were some instances in which certain aspects of the website were glossed over to make the story fit more coherently with the output of the overall newstory.

NA

I think the video above has all the necessary information in alerting the public regarding rights behind the LGBTQ community and really helps audience empathize what the trans activist is going through. It seems that in terms of journalism and bias wise, I can sense that there is bias based on the reporter's tone and choice of words.

biased information

The video presented conforms to a hard news format, but there is terminology present in the clip that alerts one to the presence of bias and the stating of opinion. I tend to disagree with the opinions though I would investigate these claims for myself before believing they are fact. I would not be surprised if these were paid actors and not the people they claim to be. There is no credible news channel.

well explanatory



The video was a story about an trans individual who was targeted by an online community by swatting. This is an internet troll technique people do and it happens for a multitude of reasons political and non. Regardless is still a terrible thing to do to someone

There is hatred in all communities. Unfortunately due to the internet, it is almost impossible to have complete privacy. All extremist and hate groups should be taken down as a threat to our national security.

I believe there are many hate groups that use platforms to advance their agendas. And this exposed one form of them.

Skewed information.

Harassment and hate actions should always be banned and removed.

CNN reshapes the news just to get more views. The girl in question did not get harassed because she was trans but because she engaged in online troll wars with other people. News people are bending some truths.


Because this video is from the point of view of the victim, the explanation of motivations for using sites like Kiwi are limited.

Each news station will always give biased opinions to suit and make its viewers happy

This video presents objective information.




63 odpovědí



This video is not biased.

 Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



I agree with the opinions presented in the video.

 Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



This video is an example of good quality journalism.

 Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



This video spreads misinformation.

Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



I believe the guest(s) or politician(s) appearing in this video were chosen on purpose to fit the agenda of the media outlet. / I believe the presenter in the video has an agenda.

Kopírovat

63 odpovědí



Please provide a short answer detailing your opinions on the presented video and arguments which support that opinion:

46 odpovědí

CRT specifically is something you won't see outside a few university-level courses, which leads me to believe the other qualms DeSantis has are equally manufactured. Can't say definitively though since the newscast couldn't gain access to the parts he found inappropriate.

I object to cnn making an objective statement that Ron DeSantis has waged culture war. That's an opinion not journalism. The idea that schools can teach whatever they want, especially to k-5 graders as suggested in the video, without oversight, accountability front the state and parents is ridiculous

This is definitely a more extreme and somewhat ridiculous instance, I don't agree with desantis' decision in this regard, but that being said the liberal indoctrination is a real problem in this country that contributes to the rise in violence and inadequate education and desantis is very passionate about fighting that rise

This segment similar to the last, but different from the first two, was reporting on a specific story and had factually information regarding that particular story. What's missing is how this fits into the larger context of the conversation. There's also no definition of CRT so if you're not familiar with it you either agree with what CNN is saying or you don't. Another bias is the two guests they had on the segment were only leaning one way, no balanced opinion from both sides

No comment

They do not present a list of books or show examples of what is being used to remove the books and only provide inferences to why without letting the audience decide. They also do not define what critical race theory is so viewers who are not familiar will either make their own judgement based on the context or bring their own opinion, which may be correct or incorrect.

CRT should not be taught in school. Teach facts, I agree this is teaching political and activist views. I do believe we should have more focus on key periods of history but that shouldn't be related to race or color. Base all things on quality of character, teach children they will face adversity and it is a call to overcome not to be a victim of, and we will all be better.

There are a lot of speakers in the video but yet again they only have the same opinion. They need show opposing viewpoints also

Ron Desantis can burn in hell

Didn't watch it

Notice how CNN presents on fact rather than opinion? They pick what they present on, which is the only element of bias, but they don't inject their opinions directly. Also note that during the Florida campaign, the man says "what they're trying to force on the American people." They



always say that; they think that the 2 groups in America are Americans and Liberals 🙄 they're so full of themselves it's crazy

Not surprised it's Florida anything is okay to use for learning unless it's something the old white men dont like

while the video does a good job of showing both sides of the story, allowing de santis and shriver the opportunity to express why the books should or should not be banned, it fails to capitalize on a singular argument as being "correct", and rather attempts to make de santis' argument look weak purely by juxtaposing it alongside shriver, who's area of expertise is within the topic at hand. while the video makes clear that de santis' position is politically motivated(which is indicative of bias on the part of de nantis and the media outlet who seeks to minimalize do santis' argument by attacking his intentions), it still spreads his misinformed argument to a wider audience.

I think this is quality journalism because opinions from both sides were presented. I believe it does attempt to be unbiased because it frames the issue as political and provides speakers from both parties, however I would say it is slightly biased towards the democratic viewpoint because there were more speakers expressing their perspective.

This was a less propagandist video, since it included footage of the opposing representative (Santis). Nevertheless, no information shared of the books, or opinions from teachers and parents.

Not objective

I agree with both sides of the story here.

I do believe that these kind of stories being intertwined into math problems is a bit much and should be revised.

But I don't agree to the extremism that the "questionable" text shown in the video falls under the umbrella of children being indoctrinated.

it's all biased

Didn't really dive into the details. Gave clips from politicians giving their own side. Gave credence to the side which claimed "this is a nothing issue".

Clickbaity anger instilling terms. Everything is exaggerated, the pot is stirred, and meant to create strong emotions in people.

This piece feels balanced, with the message I took being that the state and the publishers are arguing over acceptable content in textbooks. The left and right wing explanations are both given, and each with a very limited amount of evidence.

They explain the issues and provide both sides.

I agree with the argument in the video. CRT is not ever taught in primary and secondary schools. It is a critical lens theory used in college like Deconstructionalism and Historicism. It



is something that the far right has latched onto to target anti racist teaching practices. But. I am aware that this video is not objective.

When talking about dividing the country, both CNN and FOX play the biggest role in to that. People can/always don't agree in most of social issues. but division comes from the media.

I think this video did the best job at trying to remain unbiased in comparison to the previous videos. They presented an opposing view point which helped their credibility, however it is expected for them to be biased because they're still a political news source.

code msising

The inclusion of CRT in books is tolerable as long as it is thought under the social science classes. The teachers then would be able to discuss this critical topic with more caution.

cnn is also a biased news outlet

Its weird its just weird people are so perplexed by complicated information and yet give kids full access to information online

I do think it the line, "what me racist" is a little weird in a math textbook. I do not think that it is indoctrinating students or a critical race theory, but the governor? is using this as more fuel for his campaign.

I liked how I was presented with the full rounded picture of the topic.

The information is again just being relayed there are no personal bias mentioned I believe

N/A

NA

This video was confusing in the sense I am not too familiar with critical race theory and how it correlates to textbook material. It seems to me that while the textbook material could perhaps be misinterpreted by students, I think students are too busy learning the actual material rather than going out of their way to read every single detail in the textbook's graphics/visuals, and every nitty gritty detail contained in those "intro" paragraphs.

biased information

Last question doesn't fit this video

Good hard news format though it does contain biased and opinionated terminology. I do not think the clip contains actors. I believe the politicians and officials that appear in the clip are real. I tend to disagree with the information presented, but I would investigate for myself. There is no news channel with any credibility left.

biased video



I personally don't think that a math textbook should have those issues in it's context. That seems like it's forced in a way. However some examples are definitely arguable.

Banning books, especially in high school, is outrageous. Education is extremely important for our future and if we limit what our children are learning we are setting our future societies up for failure.

Their liberal agenda shined through here. Because the focus of education is what Florida is focusing on. CNN feels it's a political ploy.

Typical CNN. Showing you what THEY want you to see!

This news video isn't biased as it gives voice to opposing views. Also shows concrete evidence about the subject.

I believe that these subjects are not necessary in math text books, but that was not the limit of parents complains. Instead of being upset that these books contained examples that incorporated unnecessary topics not related to mathematics, the parents that complained were upset about how these topics were influencing their children.


Each news station will always give biased opinions to suit and make its viewers happy

## Media behaviour

Do you believe culture wars are strongly present in today's American politics and society?

 Kopirovat

63 odpovědí






## Do you follow mainstream media?

 Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



## Do you trust mainstream media?

 Kopírovat

63 odpovědí



If you answered no, please specify why:

54 odpovědí

I believe its good to follow it but not in isolation. If the story is big enough theres usually international coverage as well, which i believe is a good qay to suppliment the mainstream media. I often fail to do this though just because its a larger time investment

Mainstream media is no longer journalism. It's propaganda and agenda driven. They largely are responsible for the mood of the country as they dictate and determine what is newsworthy and what is not. So what's scary is what they are presenting to us is extremely biased and agenda driven and what's even more scary is most likely what they are hiding from us.

Both sides have very biased media which is a big issue, and while I'm definitely conservative and tend to agree with fox and more right wing news, I do absolutely believe that we need more unbiased media and news

Never trust anyone. Think for yourself

A lot of it is opinion based now. I would say watching multiple sources helps to narrow the info and come up with your own thoughts

There is bias from all mainstream media and strong ties to politicians and politics. Many hosts are also highly disconnected from average Americans.

50 years ago more than there were major independant outlets for investigative jounalism. Today they are ALL owmed by less than 6 corporations. Those corpoations have more profits than most governments. Tell me why i should trust their agenda?

A lot of the mainstream media's goal is to be mainstream therefore it alters the truth and authenticity of the story to appeal to viewers

Most is filled with lies, deception, and twisted truths, including the ones i agree with.

They be lyin sometimes

I mean, to an extent. I trust them to tell me what happened, but not to tell me why it happened. I siphon information from every nook of the internet for that.

There's always bias somewhere you can't trust the first thing you see or here

a majority of nationwide news media outlets are owned by a group of the wealthiest people in America, approximately 15 billionaires own and control the methods of sharing important information with millions of people.

Certain news outlets attempt to create hate by targeting people's ignorance.



I have seen real events grossly misrepresented in media with almost comical errors and inaccuracies in reporting. Perhaps a recent example is a journalist mistakenly reporting an edited meme video of a court case posted on YouTube as actual footage from the court case.

Not objective, biased

Most of the time I trust the story/information being presented. But what I don't trust is how the story/information is presented, I tend to try to find sources that are just presenting information as to how it happened, without their own interpretation of the information.

It's got a political agenda whatever media you consume. Nothing is ever politically neutral anymore

it all feels like a ploy

Biased, claiming to be unbiased.

I prefer just finding source material on websites like Reddit. I trust an amateur cell phone video more than any "news" talk show interpreting it for me and telling me how I should feel about it. American mainstream media is trash now. Quality journalism is super rare and you're not going to find it from CNN or Fox anymore. Everything is a race to break the story first no matter how accurate. People can research anything with the internet these days and draw opinions for themselves. It's just a matter of caring enough or taking the time to actually do

News channels are owned by massive umbrella corporations, and primarily serve as corporate propaganda. Even their non-political articles tend to be written to elicit a specific behavior.

They're big businesses with the biases of both the journalists and of the financial backers.

The mainstream media is essentially the mouthpiece of leftists and large corporations

I guess I think that media is very subjective in society today.

Both have an Agenda. Division is the purpose.

I always tend to be wary with the mainstream media I consume because of the influence it holds on someone as well as how often they stereotypically label specific ideologies and/or communities.

All media outlets present the same argument or they are bipartisan.

code missing

bias

Anyone can spread any kind of information so it is hard to decipher what is true and what is not



many of the media is bought out by government franchises and billionaires.

fake news and misinformation

it pushes agendas

there is a lot of incorrect information floating around on the internet.

N/A

They have their own biases that they push onto their audience.

Some are pretty biased like Fox NEws

Mainstream media is designed to be attention grabbing and distributed in small parts. There is less emphasis on long term digestion of information.

NA

Honestly, it really depends, I think I choose what to believe and vice versa.

biased information

They have no credibility. The bias is obvious no matter what channel one watches.

biased info

Capitalism bias

Incentives to make content that caters to their own audiences to generate more money and keep them watching, of course media isnt going to be fair for that reason alone.

No one shares facts without opinions. I always cross reference information.

Because they all have their own agenda.

Every media outlet has an agenda and will skewer their reporting on any subject to show you what they want you to see.

They can be biased.

I find internet more reliable where everyone has a voice and nothing gets corrected or edited or chosen to be released. The channels are controlled by people who either have power or money.

Mainstream media's messages are biased in both the messages they tell and the stories, or side of the story, that they present.




Everyone wants you to simply believe the things that benefit them for you to believe

I should clarify, I don't trust some mainstream media. CNN is definitely left leaning and biased, but I trust them because at least they report facts. Fox just straight up lies to rile up their base for clicks and views

Do you believe mainstream American media help spread culture wars topics from an ideological standpoint?




63 odpovědí



Which mainstream media outlet do you usually get your news from?




63 odpovědí



Are you present on social media?




63 odpovědí



### Which social network do you use the most?

Kopírovat


63 odpovědí



### Do you follow alternative news sources?

Kopírovat

63 odpovědí



If you answered yes, please specify which alternative media you follow and why:

32 odpovědí

Reddit

Die Zeit, BBC, Al Jazeera

Tim Pool. I believe him to be genuine in presenting facts and honest about his biases and presuppositions.

Daily Wire. Yea they're conservative but they admit it. They don't hide their bias but they also do a good job of simply presenting facts.

I don't follow news much because I find it biased and depressing, the news needs to state facts and statistics, not opinions and agendas, and let the public form their own opinions

To get different opinions

Variety of them. Need different opinions

Medias Touch and TYT. Many of the hosts are either lawyers or former mainstream media hosts that expose how mainstream media works and tend to be more truthful, including when they are wrong.

Al jazeera(spelling?), Gateway Pundit, among others. For additional commentary and views on a topic.

Le Monde, to get a global view

local community owned newspapers, community operated message boards such as discord and reddit, and media sources from outside of the United States when dealing with foreign affairs.

On Twitter I receive news alerts from left wing accounts. It's not my main source of information but I would consider that an alternative source.

I setup Google News to feed me news from various sources based off Topics I'm interested in. So I personally can't specify which news sources I directly follow, but I just get news based off Topics I'm interested in regardless of source. Better to have many sources on one topic than many topics from one source.

German news (zdf, das erste)

Podcasts and YT videos like Ben Shapiro and Joe Rogan.

Maybe? Not sure what alternative media is. And there's obviously a lot of garbage out on social media too. Stupid comments, straight up misleading statements and propaganda. But if you



can weed through the bullcrap you can maybe find a little nugget of truth or gain a better understanding. One thing I do appreciate about social media is the comment sections. Even if it's stupidity, jokes, or sarcasm...if you can ignore all that, it still allows for the open exchange of ideas and perspective. Something traditional media doesn't want. That's why most comment sections were locked during the run-up to the 2020 election. Or why authoritarian governments like China or Russia ban free speech.

4chan - Anyone is free to post whatever they want, guaranteeing something's true if you can filter out the noise.

First hand reporting from twitter, as well as several sub stacks

Zerohedge, Twitter, and Breaking Points

I follow political commentary and small news outlets because they tend to be more free from giant corporate sponsorship and corporate bias.

code missing

Telegram, Aljazeera, Al Mayadeen

I read all and any news in googlesearches and compile them to analyse and crossreference

N/A

Social media news channels

I see news a lot on twitter and tiktok

Sometimes I read news online maybe through New York Times or Washington Post

Podcasts and also Catholic television and radio. I follow them to add to the diversity of news sources I already follow. One should consider a wide range of opinions.

I follow telegram channels that focus on conflicts around the WORLD because I'm interested in geopolitics/world relations. I follow some instagram pages like @realnewsnobullshit that shows how each topic is represented as a % of each left right and center news sources with a highlight of each. Also I'm in NC and a representative of ours post frequent honest updates on TikTok Jeff Jackson or something I think.

BBC

NewsMax

Depends on your definition of 'alternative' but podcasts like Pod Save America and some email newsletters





# Google Formuláře



