

MASTER'S EXAMINER REPORT

GPS – Geopolitical Studies, Faculty of Social Sciences, Charles University

Thesis title:	Kill Not the Goose, that lays Golden Egg: SpaceX Grand Strategy amidst the New Cold War
Name of Student:	Christopher Tigmo
Referee (<i>incl. titles</i>):	PhDr. Mgr. Jakub Landovsky Ph.D.
Report Due Date:	8.9.2023

Comments of the referee on the thesis highlights and shortcomings (following the four numbered aspects of your assessment indicated below).

1) Contribution and argument: Rather high marks for contribution and argument are given for a really interesting topic selection, well chosen arguments and passion and interest shown by the author.

2) Theoretical and methodological framework: Work is based on a dialectics of authors own making and works of notable geopolitical thinkers (Spykeman, Brzezinski).

3) Sources and literature: No major complaints.

4) Manuscript form and structure: I tried to mark the first typo, but I failed, because pages are not numbered. That pretty much sums it up for me.

5) Quality of presentation: Author can write, but unnecessary mistakes and frequent typographical errors drags down the presentational value of this work.

CATEGORY	POINTS
<i>Contribution (research quality, analysis, and conclusions) (max. 40 points)</i>	33
<i>Theoretical and methodological framework (max. 25 points)</i>	19
<i>Sources and literature (max. 10 points)</i>	8
<i>Manuscript form and structure (max. 15 points)</i>	6
<i>Quality of presentation (grammar, style, coherence) (max. 10 points)</i>	6
TOTAL POINTS (max. 100 points)	72
The proposed grade (A-B-C-D-E-F)	C

Suggested questions for the defence are:

I (do not) recommend the thesis for final defence.



Referee Signature

Overall grading scheme at FSV UK:

TOTAL POINTS	GRADE	Quality standard
91 – 100	A	= outstanding (high honor)
81 – 90	B	= superior (honor)
71 – 80	C	= good
61 – 70	D	= satisfactory
51 – 60	E	= low pass at a margin of failure
0 – 50	F	= failing. The thesis is not recommended for defence.