



# Report on the part of the final state examination Record of the thesis defence

Academic year: 2022/2023

<b>Student's name and surname:</b>	Alena Rodikova
<b>Student's ID:</b>	73169260
<b>Type of the study programme:</b>	Master's (post-Bachelor)
<b>Study programme:</b>	Society, Communication and Media
<b>Study ID:</b>	678791
<b>Title of the thesis:</b>	The role played by social media in the migration-related decision-making process in the case of international students of Charles University.
<b>Thesis department:</b>	Institute of Communication Studies and Journalism (23-IKSZ)
<b>Language of the thesis:</b>	English
<b>Language of defence:</b>	English
<b>Advisor:</b>	Annamária Neag, D.Phil.
<b>Reviewer(s):</b>	Mgr. Jitka Wirthová, Ph.D.
<b>Date of defence:</b>	22.09.2023
<b>Attempt:</b>	<b>Venue of defence:</b> Praha regular
<b>Course of defence:</b>	<p>Thesis title: The role played by social media in the migration-related decision-making process in the case of international students of Charles University.</p> <p>The defence was held online. Tstudent introduced her thesis. Then the reviews were read and discussion followed. The student answered comments and questions from the reviews.</p> <p>Formulation of research questions.</p> <p>What is this thesis' overall contribution to knowledge?</p> <p>Addressing a comment regarding relevant literature.</p> <p>More elaboration on the "blurred" line between personal ties and social media: "Due to the spread of social media, the line between the influence of the personal networks and social media platforms is blurred" (p.40). Drawing on your research, could you add some of your insight into this interesting finding and relate it to the fact that social media were not as influential as supposed so far?</p> <p>The committee asked how the student defined social media and about the decision-making process of the interviewed students.</p> <p>The student answered well the questions.</p> <p>The supervisor Annamaria Neag, PhD suggested grade C, the opponent Mgr. Jitka Wirthová, Ph.D. suggested grade B. The committee agreed to give grade B.</p>

**Result of defence:** excellent (B)

**Chair of the board:** doc. PhDr. Dino Numerato, Ph.D. (present) .....

**Committee members:** Mgr. Jiří Remr, Ph.D. (present) .....

PhDr. Lenka Vochocová, Ph.D. (present) .....