

FACULTY OF SOCIAL SCIENCES Charles University

## Report on the part of the final state examination Record of the thesis defence

Academic year: 2022/2023

Student's name and surname: Student's ID:	Shiqi Yin 36638907
Type of the study programme: Study programme:	Master's (post-Bachelor) International Masters in Economy, State and Society with specialisation in Economy and Business
Study ID:	722014
Title of the thesis: Thesis department: Language of the thesis: Language of defence: Advisor: Reviewer(s):	The correlation between the automotive industry output cycle and the business cycle in the Czech Republic Department of Russian and East European Studies (23-KRVS) English English Mgr. Petr Jeřábek Dr. Serena Merrino
Keviewei (5).	PhDr. František Čech, Ph.D.
Date of defence: Attempt:	05.09.2023 Venue of defence: Jinonice, U Kříže 8 regular
Course of defence:	Defence: reviewers propose B/68, C/62 and A/71, average grade
Course of defence.	B/67. There no really serious critical points (except for editing), one review asks for evaluation of the topic for academia and policy makers, deeper analysis and better work with variables. Student explained all critical points, replied to all additional questions in an excellent way. The board decided to raise the grade to A/70.
Result of defence:	B/67. There no really serious critical points (except for editing), one review asks for evaluation of the topic for academia and policy makers, deeper analysis and better work with variables. Student explained all critical points, replied to all additional questions in an
	B/67. There no really serious critical points (except for editing), one review asks for evaluation of the topic for academia and policy makers, deeper analysis and better work with variables. Student explained all critical points, replied to all additional questions in an excellent way. The board decided to raise the grade to A/70.
Result of defence:	<ul><li>B/67. There no really serious critical points (except for editing), one review asks for evaluation of the topic for academia and policy makers, deeper analysis and better work with variables. Student explained all critical points, replied to all additional questions in an excellent way. The board decided to raise the grade to A/70.</li><li>excellent (A)</li></ul>