









IMSISS Dissertation Feedback & Mark Sheet

Student Matriculation No.	Glasgow 2316275 DCU 21109231 Charles 47022204	
Dissertation Title	Digital Threats to Democracy: Investigating the Complexities of Election Interference by Non-State Information Operations Actors and its Connection to Data Colonialism in the Global South	

Word Count: 20,018 Suggested Penalty: no penalty			

JOINT GRADING (subject to agreement of the external examiner and approval at Joint Exam Board)

Final Agreed Mark. (Following correspondence reviewers should list the agreed final internal grade taking before and after any penalties to be applied).

Before Penalty: B1 [17] After Penalty: B1 [17]

DISSERTATION FEEDBACK

Assessment Criteria	Rating		
A. Structure and Development of Answer			
This refers to your organisational skills and ability to construct an argument in a coherent and original manner			
Originality of topic	Very Good		
Coherent set of research questions and/or hypothesis identified	Very Good		
Appropriate methodology and evidence of effective organisation of work	Very Good		
Logically structured argument and flow of ideas reflecting research questions	Very Good		
Application of theory and/or concepts	Good		
B. Use of Source Material			
This refers to your skills to select and use relevant information and data in a correct manner			
Evidence of reading and review of published literature	Excellent		
Selection of relevant primary and/or secondary evidence to support argument	Very Good		
Critical analysis and evaluation of evidence	Very Good		
Accuracy of factual data	Excellent		
C. Academic Style			
This refers to your ability to write in a formal academic manner			
Appropriate formal and clear writing style	Excellent		
Accurate spelling, grammar and punctuation	Excellent		
Consistent and accurate referencing (including complete bibliography)	Excellent		
Is the dissertation free from plagiarism?	Yes		











IMSISS Dissertation Feedback & Mark Sheet

Evidence of ethics approval included (if required based on methodology)

Not required

Appropriate word count

Yes

ADDITIONAL WRITTEN COMMENTS

Reviewer 1

The dissertation investigates attempts to influence some of the past Kenyan presidential elections. The conceptual as well as methodological approach is appropriate, and the selected evidence supports the presented argument. All key factual pieces information are included. My main point of objection is that the link to digital colonialism is not robust enough. The problem lies in the fact that private actors exploiting social media for elections manipulation use the same techniques in the West and Global South. That being said, there is a laudable, critical effort to show that these private actors attempted to gain experience in elections manipulation in the Global South. This is a correct and important conclusion, and it is clear that the argument is on the right track, even given some conceptual inconsistencies. Overall, this is a well-researched dissertation, aiming to conclude with a critical take on exploitative practices of Western private actors in the Global South.

Reviewer 2

The research explores patterns of influence within the dynamics of presidential elections. The conceptual and methodological framework is sound, and the evidence presented is accurate and consistent with the overarching thesis. A universal observation emerges: the tactics used to manipulate elections through digital means are consistent across different global contexts. However, the study highlights that certain entities may refine these tactics in one region before expanding their reach. Despite minor conceptual discrepancies, the argument seems well founded and I appreciated the critical analysis. In essence, the research offers a nuanced perspective on the digital manipulation tactics used in electoral dynamics. Overall this is a well-researched thesis that aims to bring some order to a rather interesting research topic.