

## IMSISS Dissertation Feedback & Mark Sheet

<b>Student Matriculation No.</b>	<b>Glasgow</b> 2681630 <b>DCU</b> 21108935 <b>Charles</b> 91336103
<b>Dissertation Title</b>	Presenting EU Strategic Autonomy as Global Actorness? The Role of Eurobarometer Surveys in Framing Foreign Policy for Public Perception

<p><b>Word Count Penalty</b> (1-15% over/under = 1gr point; 15-20% over/under = 2 gr points; 20-25% over/under = 3 gr points; more than 25% over/under = 0 fail)</p> <p><b>Word Count:</b> 20,037</p>		

### **JOINT GRADING (subject to agreement of the external examiner and approval at Joint Exam Board)**

**Final Agreed Mark.** A3 [20]

### **DISSERTATION FEEDBACK**

<b>Assessment Criteria</b>	<b>Rating</b>
<b>A. Structure and Development of Answer</b>	
This refers to your organisational skills and ability to construct an argument in a coherent and original manner	
• <i>Originality of topic</i>	Excellent
• <i>Coherent set of research questions and/or hypothesis identified</i>	Excellent
• <i>Appropriate methodology and evidence of effective organisation of work</i>	Excellent
• <i>Logically structured argument and flow of ideas reflecting research questions</i>	Very Good
• <i>Application of theory and/or concepts</i>	Excellent
<b>B. Use of Source Material</b>	
This refers to your skills to select and use relevant information and data in a correct manner	
• <i>Evidence of reading and review of published literature</i>	Excellent
• <i>Selection of relevant primary and/or secondary evidence to support argument</i>	Excellent
• <i>Critical analysis and evaluation of evidence</i>	Very Good
• <i>Accuracy of factual data</i>	Excellent
<b>C. Academic Style</b>	
This refers to your ability to write in a formal academic manner	
• <i>Appropriate formal and clear writing style</i>	Good
• <i>Accurate spelling, grammar and punctuation</i>	Excellent
• <i>Consistent and accurate referencing (including complete bibliography)</i>	Excellent
• <i>Is the dissertation free from plagiarism?</i>	Yes
• <i>Evidence of ethics approval included (if required based on methodology)</i>	Not required

## IMSIS Dissertation Feedback & Mark Sheet

- *Appropriate word count*

Yes

### ADDITIONAL WRITTEN COMMENTS

#### **Reviewer 1**

Overall, this dissertation is very good and I am very happy with it. You have chosen an important topic that needs much more research on. The structure of the work is very good and all the main ingredients are there. I am happy with the embedding in the literature, the design of the project and the empirical material. Your analysis is very good and improves our knowledge on the area. I would recommend a bit more work on:

- (1) Introduction and conclusion could be a bit more substantial, outlining more clearly the puzzle of the research, the design, and, eventually, a summary of the findings.
- (2) The paragraphing and signposting could be improved at times.

Nonetheless, this is a very good dissertation – I am very happy with it! Many congratulations!

#### **Reviewer 2**

The dissertation presents an original topic, demonstrating the author's ability to explore new avenues of research. The author employs an effective interdisciplinary approach, skillfully combining communication studies, critical survey analysis, and policy analysis. Furthermore, a rigorous methodology is applied throughout the study. However, it should be noted that the text is clouded in language that is difficult to comprehend. Unfortunately, the author's analysis falls short in producing strong, clear, and convincing conclusions regarding the development of the EU strategic autonomy concept. Nonetheless, the dissertation's main strength lies in its meticulous application of a sophisticated methodology to an empirical area that has been insufficiently explored.