MASTER'S EXAMINER REPORT

GPS - Geopolitical Studies, Faculty of Social Sciences, Charles University

Thesis title:	The Virtual FPE: A Counterfactual Analysis of Operation Iraqi
	Freedom
Name of Student:	Aaron Smith
Referee (incl. titles):	doc. PhDr. Francis Raška, PhD.
Report Due Date:	

Comments of the referee on the thesis highlights and shortcomings (following the four numbered aspects of your assessment indicated below).

- 1) Contribution and argument: This certainly is an ambitious thesis. It contributes to our understanding of potential wartime scenarios and highlights the role of the so-called Foreign Policy Executive. The thesis is counterfactual.
- 2) Theoretical and methodological framework: The student explains counterfactualism and the theory of neorealism in geopolitics. I think that the chapter on theory is sufficiently informative and relevant to the actual content.
- 3) Sources and literature: I have no problem with the consulted and cited sources. The major authors are included in the bibliography and cited in the text.
- 4) Manuscript form and structure: I think that the form and structure are fine.
- 5) Quality of presentation The student writes using a very high level of English, but there are a few typographical errors.

CATEGORY		POINTS
Contribution (research quality, analysis, and conclusions	(max. 40 points)	36
Theoretical and methodological framework	(max. 25 points)	23
Sources and literature	(max. 10 points)	10
Manuscript form and structure	(max. 15 points)	14
Quality of presentation (grammar, style, coherence)	(max. 10 points)	9
TOTAL POINTS	(max. 100 points)	92
The proposed grade (A-B-C-D-E-F)	A	

Suggested questions for the defence are:

What could be some potential policy impacts of your research?

How reliable is counterfactualism in the prediction of potential outcomes? Please explain.

I (do not) <u>recommend</u> the thesis for final defence.	
	Referee Signature

TOTAL POINTS	GRADE	Quality standard	
91 – 100	Α	= outstanding (high honor)	
81 – 90	В	= superior (honor)	
71 – 80	C	= good	
61 – 70	D	= satisfactory	
51 – 60	E	= low pass at a margin of failure	
0 – 50	F	= failing. The thesis is not recommended for defence.	