

BACHELOR THESIS OPPONENT'S REFERENCE

Study program: SPECIALIZATION IN HEALTH SERVICE - BACHELOR DEGREE

Study branch: PHYSIOTHERAPY

Opponent's name:

Mgr. Tomáš Kičura

Author's name:

Eva Bartáková

Supervisor's name:

Mgr. Kateřina Maršáková

The title of the bachelor thesis:

Case Study of Physiotherapeutic Treatment of a Patient with Systemic Sclerosis

The aim of the bachelor thesis:

The aim of this bachelor thesis is to study and elaborate the theoretical knowledge about the diagnosis of systemic sclerosis and to elaborate a case report of one patient with this diagnosis.

1. Formal and language level of thesis:

	excellent	very good	good	unsatisfactory
fulfillment degree of the thesis goal	x			
work with literature, use of citation standard	x			
work editing (text, graphs, pictures, tables)	x			
level of the text style	x			

2. Evaluating criteria of the thesis:

	degree of evaluation			
	excellent	very good	good	unsatisfactory
quality of the theoretical content and processing		x		
logical structure of the thesis and balance of the chapters	x			
chosen examination techniques, design and their recording	x			
chosen therapeutic techniques, design and their recording		x		
ability to evaluate the intervention and interpretation of the results		x		
level of the work evaluation in relation to current knowledge		x		

3. Additional commentary and evaluation, questions for the defense:

The theoretical part of the work is generally well and comprehensively written. However, the description of pharmacological treatment is not up-to-date, and the chapter discussing treatment of systemic sclerosis does not mention patient education and self-management, which are important parts of non-pharmacological treatment. The practical part is clearly and logically structured, but as in the theoretical part, a more detailed description of patient education is missing. What should be included in the education of a patient with systemic sclerosis, and why?

4. Statement of the opponent:

I declare that all referenced sources are properly cited or paraphrased in the thesis.

5. Recommendation for the defense:

yes

6. Proposed classification level:

1

In Prague:

Mgr. Tomáš Kičura
opponent's signature