



Diploma Thesis Evaluation Form

Author: Chishing Ho

Title: To what extent is overseas Chinese network a threat to democracy and justification to securitisation

Programme/year: MISS / 2024

Author of Evaluation (supervisor):
Ing. Bc. Luděk MICHÁLEK, Ph.D.

Criteria	Definition	Maximum	Points
Major Criteria			
	Research question, definition of objectives	10	3
	Theoretical/conceptual framework	30	20
	Methodology, analysis, argument	40	30
<i>Total</i>		80	53
Minor Criteria			
	Sources	10	10
	Style	5	2
	Formal requirements	5	3
<i>Total</i>		20	15
TOTAL		100	68



Evaluation

- Major criteria:** The topic and even content of the work is very recent and original. The main reason for relatively low grading is that the work is too complicated to read, there are mix of different topics without clear explanation (historical dates, abbreviations). Also, the abstract looks like it is for another work – no words on the main topic, Chinese diaspora, kind of introduction to the irregular warfare theory). Research questions are not exactly and clearly defined, as well as clearly answered (although there are chapters with such title). Reader must go through the text with a big effort to get important and interesting pieces, which, it is necessary to say, are included in the work.
- Minor criteria:** The list of used sources is impressive and interesting but there are missing the most of the sources, which author proposed is the text of thesis proposal, why? There are many formal and stylistic errors – e.g. the form of links to sources, missing link to the important sources (intelligence laws / acts), explanation of used abbreviations and many others.
- Assessment of plagiarism:** From the perspective of plagiarism there are no comments – work is relatively well sources, quotations are correct, similarity relatively less, what shows the originality of the work.
- Overall evaluation:** Generally, the work is original, interesting and very recent. Relatively lower final grading is due to the above-mentioned deficiencies and because of very complicated and difficult structure and “logic” of the work.



**FACULTY
OF SOCIAL SCIENCES**
Charles University

Suggested grade:

Satisfactory upper / D1 (68 points)

Signature:

A handwritten signature in green ink, appearing to read "Heif", written on a horizontal line.