



Report on the part of the final state examination Record of the thesis defence

Academic year: 2023/2024

Student's name and surname: Julie Kosová
Student's ID: 36314293

Type of the study programme: Bachelor's
Study programme: Social Sciences
Study ID: 713616

Title of the thesis: Love in the Heart of Prague: Exploring Perceptions of Romantic Love among Prague's Youth

Thesis department: Department of Sociology (23-KS)

Language of the thesis: English

Language of defence: English

Advisor: Elisabeth Kovtiak

Reviewer(s): Mgr. Lucie Němcová

Date of defence: 20.06.2024 **Venue of defence:** Praha
Attempt: regular

Course of the examination: The chair of the committee began the exam. The student presented her thesis in about 15 minutes.

The supervisor read her review. Besides the limitations posed by the sample size, she considers the work to be a sound and focused undergraduate research. The work passed the check for originality.

The opponent read her review. She appreciates the topic and the level of analysis, which is good. However, she thinks that the review of academic literature should be more extensive.

Supervisor's question:

Can you discuss the limitations of your sample size and how you addressed these limitations in your analysis?

Can you discuss any novel insights or perspectives that your research brought to the topic of romantic love and its portrayal in advertising?

Opponent's questions:

What codes were created during the analysis; can author provide compact display of these codes?

What were the pre-prepared questions for focus groups?

Please clarify whether and how the Rifa'I (2010) guidelines were used?

The student replied first to the supervisor's question. She recognises the sample size as a limitation but thinks that the data collection served the purpose of a Bachelor's thesis and was enough to conduct the kind of analysis she had planned. Further questions were asked about the composition of the sample.

One of the potential theoretical contributions according to the student is that 'passion' was mentioned the least in the youth's discussions of love. This could inform/contribute to the theoretical framework used by the student.

The students presented answers to the opponent's questions about codes and interview questions.

The Rifa'I guidelines were referred to as a potential guideline but used only as a starting point for the analysis.

Dr Remr asked about the practical use of the findings. The students explained how she understands the link between researching love and understanding cultural changes in the long-term.

The committee discussed the evaluation. They agreed on the grade C based on the comments mentioned during the defense.

Result of defence:	very good (C)	
Chair of the board:	Hájek Martin, doc. Mgr., Ph.D. (present)
Committee members:	Kotherová Zuzana, Ing., Ph.D. (present)
	Remr Jiří, Mgr., Ph.D. (present)