BACHELOR'S THESIS EXAMINER REPORT

PPE – Bachelor's in Politics, Philosophy and Economics Faculty of Social Sciences, Charles University

Thesis title:	Considering Japanese political apologies to China	
Student's name:	YiMin Bu	
Referee's name:	feree's name: Hana Kubátová	

Criteria	Definition	Maximum	Points
Major Criteria			
	Contribution and argument504(quality of research and analysis, originality)4		40
	Research question (definition of objectives, plausibility of hypotheses)	15	12
	Theoretical framework (methods relevant to the research question)	15	11
Total		80	63
Minor Criteria			
	Sources, literature	10	8
	Presentation (language, style, cohesion)	5	3
	Manuscript form (structure, logical coherence, layout, tables, figures)	5	3
Total		20	14
TOTAL		100	77

Plagiarism-check (URKUND) match score:

[NB:] If the plagiarism-check (URKUND) match score is above 15%, the reviewer has to include his/her assessment of the originality of the reviewed thesis in his/her review.

Reviewer's commentary according to the above criteria (min. 1800 characters including spaces when recommending a passing grade, min. 2500 characters including spaces when recommending a failing grade):

The strengths of the thesis include its contribution to the broader scholarly debate on political apologies, a trending research topic. It documents and analyzes the divergent views between China and Japan on Japan's political apology for wartime transgressions during World War II. There is a logic to the thesis, beginning with historical and contextual background, covering the reconciliation efforts starting with the Tokyo Trial, and discussing the attitudes of China and Japan regarding war compensation treaties. What I also find important, it approaches the research question by incorporating responses to apologies from both the victim and perpetrator sides.

However, there are weaknesses as well, and we have examined some of these during consultations. The thesis could benefit from a clearer articulation of what I would call "the big picture." The analysis within the thesis itself can be chaotic at times and would benefit

from a more structured approach. Additionally, as I am convinced, the incorporation of Social Dominance Orientation Theory should be more straightforward and better explained.

Proposed grade (A-B-C-D-E-F): C

Suggested questions for the defence are:

I recommend the thesis for final defence.

Referee Signature

0	Overall grading scheme at FSV UK:					
	TOTAL POINTS	GRADE	Quality standard			
	91 – 100	Α	= outstanding (high honor)			
	81 – 90	В	= superior (honor)			
	71 – 80	С	= good			
	61 – 70	D	= satisfactory			
	51 – 60	E	= low pass at a margin of failure			
	0 – 50	F	= failing. The thesis is not recommended for defence.			

Overall grading scheme at FSV UK: