

**UNIVERZITA KARLOVA V PRAZE**  
**Fakulta sociálních věd**  
**Institut mezinárodních studií**

**PROTOKOL O HODNOCENÍ BAKALÁŘSKÉ PRÁCE**  
**(Posudek oponenta)**

Práci předložil(a) student(ka): **Adéla Kučerová**

Název práce: *Téma islámské radikalizace ve Francii, viděno prostřednictvím prezidentských voleb v roce 2022.*

Oponoval (u externích oponentů uveďte též adresu a funkci v rámci instituce): Dr. Paul Bauer

1. OBSAH A CÍL PRÁCE (stručná informace o práci, formulace cíle):

The thesis intends to analyze across the political spectrum in France key issues related to Islamic radicalization. The author states that the Islamic radicalization is a phenomenon that affects socio-political dynamics in France. The author characterized Islamic radicalization by an extreme interpretation of Islam that has led to acts of violence and terrorist attacks. According to the author, radical Islamism has become one of the key issues in public debate in France in political campaigns. The candidate proposes to analysis the different political attitudes adopted by candidates during the presidential campaign in 2022.

Specifically, the author focuses on the 2020-2022 presidential election period, as she believed that Islamic radicalization has become an inseparable theme in the election campaigns and programs of all the candidates. The author tries to identify which policy measures can most effectively contribute to reducing the risk of radicalization and terrorism. (p.15)

2. VĚCNÉ ZPRACOVÁNÍ (náročnost, tvůrčí přístup, argumentace, logická struktura, teoretické a metodologické ukotvení, práce s prameny a literaturou, vhodnost příloh apod.):

To advance toward those very ambitious and difficult objectives, the author draws mainly on work by Olivier Roy, Gilles Kepel, Marc Sageman a Scott Atran.

Adéla Kučerová chose these authors because, as she states, each of them brings a unique but also expert perspective on the topic. Olivier Roy stands out for his analysis of the cultural and religious aspects of radicalization, while Gilles Kepel offers insight into the religious and sociopolitical roots of the phenomenon. Marc Sageman brings more empirical insights and practical experiences from the field, and Scott Atran then provides an interdisciplinary approach, allowing for a deeper understanding of extremist motivations.

The choice of references to elaborate on the subject is relevant. However, some authors do contradict each other on the matter of Islamic radicalization, notably Kepel and Roy who both stand in opposite position. While Kepel presents the religious roots of violence in Islam, Roy considers at the contrary the Islamization of radicality as more accurate explanation.

From a methodological viewpoint, the work is organized in four comparative sections focusing on themes that are, according to the author, important to understand the Islamic radicalization.

The first topic is on France's immigration policy and its impact on radicalization, the second topic is on religious freedom and its impact on Islamic radicalization. The third topic is on the integration of Muslim communities, The fourth and final point of comparison is on the impact of French foreign policy, particularly towards Israel. (P.15)

3. FORMÁLNÍ A JAZYKOVÉ ZPRACOVÁNÍ (jazykový projev, správnost citace a odkazů na literaturu, grafická úprava, formální náležitosti práce apod.):

As I am not a Czech native speaker, I won't comment on the style but saying that the text is easy to read et clear.

4. KONTROLA ORIGINALITY TEXTU

Prohlašuji, že jsem se seznámil/a s výsledkem kontroly originality textu závěrečné práce v systému:

[ ] Theses [ ] Turnitin [ ] Ouriginal (Urkund)  
Komentář k výsledku kontroly: 8/100 similarity

5. STRUČNÝ KOMENTÁŘ HODNOTITELE (celkový dojem z bakalářské práce, silné a slabé stránky, originalita myšlenek, naplnění cíle apod.):

The general impression of the thesis is good, the author is well informed and managed in a short thesis to adress difficult questions in France contemporary society.

6. OTÁZKY A PŘIPOMÍNKY DOPORUČENÉ K BLIŽŠÍMU VYSVĚTLENÍ PŘI OBHAJOBĚ (jedna až tři):

You have extensively used Kepel and Roy as references for your analysis. However, they are both opposed to each other on how to think on the articulation of violence and Islam. How do you situate your work within this opposition?

7. DOPORUČENÍ / NEDOPORUČENÍ K OBHAJOBĚ A NAVRHOVANÁ ZNÁMKA  
(A-F): A or B depending on the quality of the defense

Datum: 20.8.2024

Podpis: Paul Bauer

---

Pozn.: Hodnocení píše k jednotlivým bodům, pokud nepíšete v textovém editoru, použijte při nedostatku místa zadní stranu nebo příložený list. V hodnocení práce se pokuste oddělit ty její nedostatky, které jsou, podle vašeho mínění, obhajobou neodstranitelné (např. chybí kritické zhodnocení pramenů a literatury), od těch věcí, které student může dobrou obhajobou napravit; poměr těchto dvou položek berte prosím v úvahu při stanovení konečné známky.