



# Report on the part of the final state examination Record of the thesis defence

Academic year: 2023/2024

<b>Student's name and surname:</b>	Yuexin Pan, BA
<b>Student's ID:</b>	89638355
<b>Type of the study programme:</b>	Master's (post-Bachelor)
<b>Study programme:</b>	International Economic and Political Studies with specialisation in International Politics
<b>Study ID:</b>	783108
<b>Title of the thesis:</b>	The development and innovation research of Chinese SMEs in post-COVID era.
<b>Thesis department:</b>	Department of Political Science (23-KP)
<b>Language of the thesis:</b>	English
<b>Language of defence:</b>	English
<b>Advisor:</b>	doc. Ing. Vladimír Benáček, CSc.
<b>Reviewer(s):</b>	Matěj Bajgar, D.Phil.
<b>Date of defence:</b>	10.09.2024
<b>Attempt:</b>	<b>Venue of defence:</b> Praha regular
<b>Course of the examination:</b>	<p>Student has not got a presentation. main points of her research are mentioned just briefly and she has no graphical support of her argument. Nonetheless she talks about main research questions and why she thinks her research is important. Her topics appears to be missing in current literature so she decided to fill these gaps up. She then talks about methodology and methods used, steps she took to perform her research. Her conclusions are then discussed and she explains what she learned, limitations and problems she faced. Opponent is fairly critical, used statistical techniques and those were quite simple. Supervisor is more positive but also mentions simple methods used.</p> <p>Student reacts to opponents questions. She explains probable misunderstandings that might have occurred. She specifies her thoughts that led her to her research. One member of the commission explains why opponent might have problems with her thesis. The main problem is said to be selection of data. Student does explain reasoning and we can see that the problem is with her generalization of her findings. Other problem is choosing the subjects she did a questionnaire with. Her selection was somehow random and is acceptable and viable. Yet it is poorly connected to other literature. Data she collected comes solely from her research which she says is the only way as official state data are confidential. That leads to biases that may have occurred, she did not correct or account for these at all - she specifically says she trusted the companies she asked to fill out the questionnaires.</p>

<b>Result of defence:</b>	excellent (B)	
<b>Chair of the board:</b>	Brunclík Miloš, doc. PhDr., Ph.D. (present)	.....
<b>Committee members:</b>	Doboš Bohumil, Mgr., Ph.D. (present)	.....
	Baxa Jaromír, PhDr., Ph.D. (present)	.....