

Institute of Phonetics

A Review of a Final Thesis

submitted to the Department of English and ELT Methodology, Faculty of Arts, Charles University

Name and titles of the revie Reviewed as:	wer: doc. Mgr. Radek Skarnitz ⊠ a supervisor			
Author of the thesis: Nela Bradíková Title of the thesis: Duration of stressed and unstressed syllables in British and American political debates Year of submission: 2024				
Submitted as:	⋈ a bachelor's thesis	\square a master's thesis		
Level of expertise: ⊠ excellent □ very good	□ average □ below average	□ inadequate		
Factual errors: ☑ almost none ☐ appropri	ate to the scope of the thesis	☐ frequent less serious ☐ serious		
Chosen methodology: \Box original and appropriate \boxtimes appropriate \Box barely adequate \Box inadequate				
Results: \square original and derivative \square non-trivial compilation \square cited from sources \square copied				
Scope of the thesis: ☐ too large ☐ appropriate to the topic ☐ adequate ☐ inadequate				
Bibliography (number and selection of titles): \Box above average (scope or rigor) \boxtimes average \Box below average \Box inadequate				
Typographical and formal level: ⊠ excellent □ very good □ average □ below average □ inadequate				
Language: ⊠ excellent ⊠ very good	□ average □ below average	e □ inadequate		
Typos: ☑ almost none ☐ appropriate to the scope of the thesis ☐ numerous				
Overall evaluation of the thesis: ☑ excellent □ very good □ average □ below average □ inadequate				

Brief description of the thesis (by the supervisor, ca. 100-200 words):

Nela Bradíková's BA thesis was motivated by the desire to see whether traditionally held "rules" about the sound patterns of English apply in spontaneous speech. Specifically, it examines temporal relationships between stressed and unstressed syllables (or vowels). The introductory chapter gradually presents the rhythm of speech, including the most recent non-temporal accounts thereof, and lexical stress and its correlates, and the various factors which affect segment (vowel) durations. The analysis entailed phone segmentation and careful listening of 16 speakers extracted from British and American political debates to identify syllables realized and stressed and unstressed. Results are visualized using boxplots. After measuring relative vowel duration, normalized with respect to word duration, the author focuses on absolute durations depending on word length (with monosyllabic words manifesting very divergent tendencies from polysyllabic words), phonological vowel length, and nature of the coda consonant. Individual cases (outliers) are also considered. The final discussion summarizes the results and the limitations of the research.

Review, comments and notes (ca. 100-200 words) **Strong points of the thesis:**

The theoretical chapter is of excellent quality: the description of speech rhythm and all its various accounts represents one of the best introductions to the topic as far as BA-level theses are concerned, and so is the summary of the various factors which play a role in vowel duration. The phonetic segmentation and identification of stressed and unstressed syllables in spontaneous recordings was particularly challenging but provides useful data beyond this thesis; the amount of speech material processed and analyzed for the BA thesis is above-average. The results are presented and discussed systematically, with attention to detail as well as general tendencies; this is clear for instance in the account of individual (exceptional) cases.

Weak points of the thesis:

There are no major weak points in the thesis.

Questions to answer during the Defence and suggested points of discussion:

The author identifies as one of the thesis' limitations the fact that only two levels of stress were recognized (p. 50). Given the careful auditory analysis she has performed, does she believe that recognizing secondary stress (which she recommends for future research) would have been beneficial? And if so, would there be a restriction on this, for instance in terms of phonetic contexts or types of words?

Other comments:		
Proposed grade:		
$oxed{\boxtimes}$ excellent $\oxed{\square}$ very good	\square good	☐ fail
Place, date and signature o	f the revie	wer:
Prague, August 26, 2024		