

## A Review of a Final Thesis

submitted to the Department of English and ELT Methodology, Faculty of Arts, Charles University

Name and titles of the rev	<b>iewer</b> : PhDr. Gabriela Brůhová	, Ph.D.
Reviewed as:	□ a supervisor	$\square$ an opponent
Year of submission: 2024		its Czech translation counterparts  ☐ a master's thesis
<b>Level of expertise:</b> ⊠ excellent □ very good	☐ average ☐ below averag	e 🗆 inadequate
Factual errors:  ☐ almost none ☐ approp	oriate to the scope of the thesis	s $\square$ frequent less serious $\square$ serious
Chosen methodology:  ⊠ original and appropriate	e $\ \square$ appropriate $\ oxtimes$ barely ac	dequate $\square$ inadequate
Results:  ☐ original ☒ original and	l derivative □ non-trivial com	pilation □ cited from sources □ copied
Scope of the thesis:  ☐ too large ☐ appropriat	$=$ to the topic $\ \square$ adequate $\ \square$	□ inadequate
Bibliography (number and ☐ above average (scope o	selection of titles): r rigor) $\boxtimes$ average $\square$ below a	verage □ inadequate
Typographical and formal level:  ☑ excellent □ very good □ average □ below average □ inadequate		
Language:  ⊠ excellent □ very good	☐ average ☐ below averag	e ⊠ inadequate
Typos:  ☑ almost none □ approp	oriate to the scope of the thesis	s □ numerous



Department of English and ELT Methodology

### **Short description of the thesis** (by the supervisor)

Klára Horáková's bachelor thesis deals with the topic of the English genitive, its semantic roles and translation counterparts in Czech. The theoretical part summarizes various forms of the English genitive, its semantic roles, grammatical functions and outlines possible translation equivalents. The practical part, based on the analysis of a sample of 150 examples from the InterCorp corpus, demonstrates how the English genitive is translated into Czech. In interpreting the results and discussing problematic examples, the author shows deep insight and the ability to interpret the subtle semantic nuances of the English genitive and translation strategies. In a contrastive perspective, the bachelor's thesis provides interesting insights into the complex issue of English genitive translation.

# **Review, comments and notes** (ca. 100-200 words) **Strong points of the thesis:**

- The theoretical overview shows the author's excellent insight into the issue.-
- The language and formal aspects of the thesis are excellent.
- I appreciate that the author does not offer a mere quantitative list of the most common semantic roles and translation counterparts in the analytical part of the thesis, but looks for correlations between the semantic type of the genitive and the type of translation into Czech.

### Weak points of the thesis:

Other comments:

- I am missing examples to illustrate each counterpart in ch. 4.1 (pp. 34-35).
- Somewhat unusually, the chapters in the analytical part of the thesis start with a chart. It would have been more appropriate to include them in the text

### Questions to answer during the Defence and suggested points of discussion:

• On p. 42, n. 9 - the Frenchman's gaze was drawn to it = Francouz zbystřil. You characterize this counterpart as a translation using a noun in the nominative case. Is this classification really appropriate? Since this is a rewording of the whole sentence, wouldn't it be better to put these examples in a different (perhaps separate) category?

# Proposed grade: ☑ excellent ☐ very good ☐ good ☐ fail Place, date and signature of the reviewer: PhDr. Gabriela Brůhová, Ph.D. Praque, 28.8.2023