

## Report on the part of the final state examination Record of the thesis defence

Academic year: 2023/2024

**Student's name and surname:** Ishita Challapalli

**Student's ID:** 97924668

**Type of the study programme:** Master's (post-Bachelor) **Study programme:** Media and Area studies

**Study ID:** 754243

**Title of the thesis:** Cultural identity representation in mainstream Indian cinema

(Bollywood) and south Indian films

**Thesis department:** Department of Media Studies (23-KMS)

Language of the thesis:EnglishLanguage of defence:English

**Advisor:** Gerardo Costabile Nicoletta, Ph.D.

**Reviewer(s):** Sadia Zamir, M.A.

**Date of defence:** 18.09.2024 **Venue of defence:** Praha

Attempt: regular

**Course of the examination:** Ishita Challapalli defended her thesis "Cultural identity

representation in mainstream Indian cinema (Bollywood) and south

Indian films."

First, she introduced her thesis topic and its importance, focusing on representation of stereotypes of identities. She located her research in postcolonial studies paradigm She explained her choice of theories, methods, and execution of her analysis. She highlighted the concept of victimhood as a central notion in her results. She discussed use of

CDA as her method. She compared the way how Bollywood stereotypes Southern India differently compared with the

moviemakers from the Southern India itself.

The committee then presented the supervisor's review, suggesting the evaluation of "C". He provided generally positive remarks. On the other hand, he noted not really developed of the literature review,

descriptive presentation of the material, and some formal

weaknesses. He asked about stereotypes, cultural homogenization, marginalized and subaltern identities, and South Indian negotiation

of cultural identities in face of Bollywood.

The committee then presented the opponent's review, suggesting the evaluation of "A". The committee picked the question about the use

of the CDA.

The student then discussed the issues raised by the reviewers and the questions posed by the committee, including the use of her choice of the CDA and her focus on power dynamics, and also the issue of cultural homogenization.

Based on the thesis, the reviews and the defense, the committee

decided to evaluate the thesis with the grade B.

Result of defence:	excellent (B)	
Chair of the board:	Jirák Jan, prof. PhDr., Ph.D. (present)	
Committee members:	Štoll Martin, prof. MgA., Ph.D. (present)	
	Nečas Vlastimil, PhDr., Ph.D. (present)	