

FACULTY OF SOCIAL SCIENCES Charles University

## Report on the part of the final state examination Record of the thesis defence

Academic year: 2023/2024

| Student's name and surname:  | Nelson Tang  |  |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| Student's ID:                | 37272298   |  |
| Type of the study programme: | Master's (post-Bachelor)   |  |
| Study programme:             | International Security Studies   |  |
| Study ID:                    | 798280   |  |
| Title of the thesis:         | The welfare agendas of Populist Radical Right Parties in Europe  |  |
| Thesis department:           | Department of Public and Social Policy (23-KVSP)   |  |
| Language of the thesis:      | English  |  |
| Language of defence:         | English  |  |
| Advisor:                     | PhDr. Vilém Novotný, Ph.D.   |  |
| Reviewer(s):                 | Mirna Jusić, M.A., Ph.D.   |  |
|                              | Mgr. Jonathan Collins  |  |
| Date of defence:             | 18.09.2024 Venue of defence: Praha   |  |
| Attempt:                     | regular  |  |
| Course of the examination:   | Student introduces the topic of his thesis, he focused on the supply<br>side of the social policy. He presents his theoretical framework, the<br>role of the welfare state and the logic behind. Methodologically,<br>student utilized the mixed methods approach including measuring of<br>relevant actors' positions to social policy. He conducted the<br>regression analysis with fixed effects. He also explored the<br>manifestos providing data on the positions. Populist radical right<br>parties in the Central Europe more likely communicate positiely<br>about the welfare state, here the role plays also the historical legacy.<br>The committee presents the reviews, practically without some critical<br>points. The committee asks how can student relate his thesis with the<br>broader discipline within security studies. He answers that his work<br>is primarilly polsci, PRR parties increased recently, far right also can<br>motivate the political violence. Result: A |  |

| Result of defence:  | excellent (A)                               |  |
|---------------------|---|--|
| Chair of the board: | Karásek Tomáš, PhDr. JUDr., Ph.D. (present) |  |
| Committee members:  | Kučera Tomáš, Mgr. et Mgr., Ph.D. (present) |  |
|                     | Ludvík Jan, Mgr., Ph.D. (present)           |  |