

Department of English and ELT Methodology

A Review of a Final Thesis

submitted to the Department of English and ELT Methodology, Faculty of Arts, Charles University

Name and titles of the revie Reviewed as:	wer: Eva Maria Luef, Dr. doc. ☐ a supervisor	□ an opponent		
Author of the thesis: Dorota	a Waczlavová			
Title of the thesis: Linking in Year of submission: 2024 Submitted as:	British and American politica ☑ a bachelor's thesis			
Level of expertise: ⊠ excellent □ very good	□ average □ below average	□ inadequate		
Factual errors: ☐ almost none ☐ appropri	ate to the scope of the thesis	☐ frequent less serious ☐ serious		
Chosen methodology: \square original and appropriate \square appropriate \square barely adequate \square inadequate				
Results: \square original and derivative \square non-trivial compilation \square cited from sources \square copied				
Scope of the thesis: \Box too large $\ \boxtimes$ appropriate to the topic $\ \Box$ adequate $\ \Box$ inadequate				
Bibliography (number and selection of titles): \square above average (scope or rigor) \square average \boxtimes below average \square inadequate				
Typographical and formal le ⊠ excellent □ very good	vel: □ average □ below average	□ inadequate		
Language: ⊠ excellent □ very good	□ average □ below average	□ inadequate		
Typos: ⋈ almost none □ annronri	ate to the scope of the thesis	□ numerous		



Department of English and ELT Methodology

Brief description of the thesis (by the supervisor, ca. 100-200 words):

Review, comments and notes (ca. 100-200 words)

The thesis evaluates types of linking in spontaneous speech of British and American English speakers. The topic is quite relevant and the study can contribute interesting insights into linking preferences. The introduction is good but should be more focused. The results are good and intuitive, and Ms Waczlavova is cautious in promoting her findings. The language of the thesis is exceptionally good.

Strong points of the thesis:

- Interesting topic
- Well written
- Good descriptive analyses
- Informative visualizations
- Awareness of limitations of one's own research

Weak points of the thesis:

- The introductions meanders through too many unrelated topics
- No statistical support for findings

Questions to answer during the Defence and suggested points of discussion:

- 1. As you hint at a few times in the thesis, speech rate has an effect on the presence of linking. Please explain the details of this connection. What was the impact on your results?
- 2. What aspects of your findings did you find most interesting and would like to follow up on in the future?

Other comments:

Quite a few phenomena described in the introductory part of the thesis are not directly related to the actual study. On page 23, readers are introduced to "linking" for the first time. This is followed by further discussions of phonological phenomena, where Ms Waczlavova explicitly states that the topics are not relevant for the context of the study.

p. 26: I am not so sure that intrusive r's are acceptable nowadays (as implied at the beginning of p. 26). There is still a considerable degree of stigma associated with them, at least in Great Britain.

The book by Tucker and Mukai 2023 seems to provide the main input for large parts of the introduction.

I liked the methods description of how the annotations were done and the challenges that were encountered during annotating. The example of "wanna implement" and the presence or absence of glottalization exemplifies the problems that one can have with acoustic annotations. The awareness of



Department of English and ELT Methodology

Ms Waczlavova regarding a certain degree of inaccuracy in the acoustic work is valuable for a researcher.

The results presented in Figures 4 and 5 are interesting – I am surprised that glottalization is less prevalent in British speakers than other types of linking. Overall, the results are intuitive: British English shows more linking. Figures 6 and 7 are also quite informative. The grammatical-lexical divide is an interesting fact in itself.

I like the idea of looking at extreme cases in more detail. Explaining outliers is a novel and clever way to explain variation.

The future outlook mentions L2 and I think this would be a great idea to study linking in L2 speakers.

Minor comments:

- The "we" phrasing at the beginning is a bit unusual; later it is switched to "I". And also the "you" address to the reader is odd (e.g., on page 8).
- Some of the figures are blurred, with barely readable captions (at least in my PDF version).

Proposed grade:				
oxtimes excellent	oxtimes very good	$\square \operatorname{good}$	\square fail	
(grade 1-2)				
Place, date a	nd signature of	f the revie	ewer:	
Praque,				
August 26, 20	024			