

Report on the part of the final state examination Record of the thesis defence

Academic year: 2023/2024

Student's name and surname: Lakshmi Srikanta

Student's ID: 18718910

Type of the study programme: Master's (post-Bachelor)

Study programme: Political Science

Branch of study: International Relations

Study ID: 648008

Title of the thesis: India's Anti-Terrorism Legislation and the reframing of Protests: A

Discourse Analysis

Thesis department: Department of International Relations (23-KMV)

Language of the thesis:EnglishLanguage of defence:English

Advisor: doc. PhDr. Ondřej Ditrych, M.Phil., Ph.D.

Reviewer(s): Aliaksei Kazharski, Ph.D.

Date of defence: 11.09.2024 **Venue of defence:** Praha

Attempt: regular

Course of the examination: Student presented thesis. Student used critical discourse analysis to

look at how language transforms idea of terrorism, with respect to 3 different types of documents. Focused on parliamentary debates. Student used Van Dyke model. Student found that government used method of soft power, used ideological square from Van Dyke's theory, put themselves as charming. Student found different perspectives of how different communities looked at cases that resulted in capital charges. Student found vague wording in legislative documents. Student found that opposition parties were conscious when speaking in parliament due to recording of

parliament sessions. Student found that even when government was

answering, mentioned that if opposition party was blaming

government, then should blame opposition as well, by government grouping self with opposition, even if criticisms were valid, even if government lost power in next elections, opposition would also not

gain power. Committee mentioned supervisor found student synthesised extensive volume of secondary literature, detailed empirical chapters, literature review could be clearer and more organised. Second reader mentioned that it was best thesis read in this state exam session, mentioned structural problem, that perhaps assume too much knowledge of readers of Indian context. Student mentioned that when looked at all documents, chose 15 articles, 15 audio visual, that they would be diversified. Student mentioned that a lot of nuance missed in purposive sampling. Student mentioned

could do extensive interpretive analysis. Student mentioned that used idea of contextualisation for theories, wanted to outline all theories

that could help with why chose critical discourse analysis.

Result of defence:	excellent (B)	
Chair of the board:	Karlas Jan, doc. PhDr., Ph.D., M.A. (present)	
Committee members:	Kazharski Aliaksei, Ph.D. (present)	
	Martinková Viera, Mgr., Ph.D. (present)	