

Diploma Thesis Evaluation Form

Author: Brunagel Adèle

Title: Cybersecurity awareness & games How to lower the human risk?

Programme/year: SS 2024

Author of Evaluation (supervisor/external assessor):

Criteria	Definition	Maximum	Points
Major Criteria			
	Research question, definition of objectives	10	10
	Theoretical/conceptual framework	30	27
	Methodology, analysis, argument	40	37
Total		80	74
Minor Criteria			
	Sources	10	10
	Style	5	5
	Formal requirements	5	5
Total		20	20
TOTAL		100	94



Evaluation

Major criteria:

The submitted thesis offers an interesting insight into alternative methods of strengthening cyber security awareness. Specifically, the paper focuses on the possibilities associated with gamified tools.

Theoretically, the research is appropriately anchored in constructivist learning theory and some behavioral and cognitive approaches. On this basis, the author builds a compelling evaluation framework.

The methodology of the work is also very robust. It is gratifying that the author used the internship she undertook as part of her studies to conduct her research.

I consider the paper presented to be very accomplished and interesting. It is very carefully crafted in terms of content and form.

Minor criteria:

Based on the anti-plagiarism software checks, it is formally confirmed that the submitted thesis is original and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, does not, in an ethically unacceptable manner, draw from the works of other authors.

All minor criteria are met.

Overall evaluation:

Very interesting and original paper. Excellent job!

Suggested grade: A

Signature: