



## Posudek na diplomovou práci

Autor/ka práce: Laura Mangunda

Název práce: **Impact Evaluation Practices in Professionalized Development NGOs**

Obor/Rok: 2025

Autor/ka posudku (vedoucí/oponent práce): Vít Střítecký

Kritéria	Definice	Max. bodů	Získané body
<b>Hlavní kritéria</b>			
	Výzkumná otázka, formulace problému	<b>10</b>	9
	Teoretický konceptuální rámec	<b>30</b>	25
	Metodologie, analýza argumentace	<b>40</b>	30
<i>Celkem</i>		<b>80</b>	64
<b>Vedlejší kritéria</b>			
	Zdroje	<b>10</b>	9
	Styl	<b>5</b>	5
	Formální kritéria	<b>5</b>	3
<i>Celkem</i>		<b>20</b>	17
<b>CELKEM</b>		<b>100</b>	81



## **Slovní hodnocení:**

### **Hlavní kritéria:**

The present work deals with the interesting phenomenon of evaluation of the effectiveness of NGOs, which is contextually related to their growing professionalization. It is an original and interesting topic that allows to critically reflect on a sector that usually does not attract much criticism in academic terms. From the disciplinary perspective, the dissertation is appropriately introduced in the sense of debates on actorship and professionalization of the entire NGO ecosystem. These disciplinary debates are further linked with the more general literature focusing on evaluation strategies, with a key emphasis put on evaluation practices. Methodologically, the paper draws on the praxiographic approach, which is described and operationalized in a relatively robust way.

Though I recognize many strengths of the paper, I have two main concerns. First, the conceptual and methodological apparatus is heavily inspired by critical approaches, but the document analysis and interviews appear rather conventional. I think the entire framework could have been simplified and reveal more convincing results. Second, the analysis seems to be rather shallow. Compared to the robustness of the conceptual and methodological framework the empirical investigation does not provide fully convincing results.

### **Vedlejší kritéria:**

Sources and writing style are fine. References to empirical sources (documents) should be clearer. I am afraid it somewhat confirms the empirical shallowness mentioned above.

### **Vyjádření k plagiariismu:**

Based on the anti-plagiarism software checks, it is formally confirmed that the submitted thesis is original and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, does not, in an ethically unacceptable manner, draw from the works of other authors.

### **Celkové hodnocení:**

Interesting paper, which is brought down by empirical underdevelopment.

### **Výsledná známka: B**



**FAKULTA  
SOCIÁLNÍCH VĚD**  
Univerzita Karlova

**Podpis:**